arXiv:0907.4846v1 [math.OA] 28 Jul 2009

The relative tensor product and a minimal fiber product in the setting of C^*-algebras

Thomas Timmermann
University of Muenster,
Einsteinstr. 62, Muenster, Germany,
timmermt@math.uni-muenster.de

July 27, 2009

Abstract

We introduce a relative tensor product of C^*-modules and a spatial fiber product of C^*-algebras that are analogues of Connes' fusion of correspondences and the fiber product of von Neumann algebras introduced by Sauvageot, respectively, and study their categorical properties. These constructions form the basis for our approach to quantum groupoids in the setting of C^*-algebras that is published separately.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

We introduce a relative tensor product of C^*-modules and a fiber product of C^*-algebras, study their categorical properties, and give some examples. These constructions are fundamental to our approach to quantum groupoids in the setting of C^*-algebras. Roughly, a quantum groupoid consists of an algebra B, thought of as the functions on the unit space, an algebra A, thought of as functions on the total space, a homomorphism $r\colon B \to A$ and an antihomomorphism $s\colon B \to A$ corresponding to the range and the source map, and a comultiplication $\Delta\colon B \to A_s{*}_r A$ corresponding to the multiplication of the quantum groupoid. Here, the algebra $A_s{*}_r A$ is a fiber product whose precise definition depends on the class of the algebras involved. In the purely algebraic context, this fiber product is the $\times_R$-product of Takeuchi [20], whereas in the setting of operator algebras, one represents A on a Hilbert space H that arises from some GNS-construction for the Haar weights of the quantum groupoid, and constructs the fiber product $A_s{*}_r A$ as an operator algebra on a relative tensor product $H_s{\otimes}_r H$. In the setting of von Neumann algebras, the relative tensor product $H_s{\otimes}_r H$ was defined by Connes and is often called Connes' fusion, see [3, 9, 16], the fiber product $A_s{*}_r A$ was defined by Sauvageot [17], and the data (B, A, r, s, Δ) forms a Hopf-von Neumann bimodule in the sense of Vallin [27]. We propose the corresponding definitions for the setting of C^*-algebras and use

them in [21] to define and study compact C^*-quantum groupoids. Let us add that in the setting of von Neumann algebras, a comprehensive theory of measurable quantum groupoids was developed by Lesieur and Enock [6, 7, 8, 14].
Similarly as in the theory of quantum groups, an important role in operator-algebraic approaches to quantum groupoids is played by fundamental unitaries that generalize the multiplicative unitaries of Baaj and Skandalis [1]. In the setting of von Neumann algebras, these unitaries were introduced by Vallin [28]. Using the theory presented in this article, we introduced and studied fundamental unitaries for quantum groupoids in the setting of C^*-algebras [23, 24], generalizing large parts of [1]. For space reasons, these applications had to be kept separate; an article is in preparation.
An earlier approach to quantum groupoids and their fundamental unitaries in the setting of C^*-algebras was developed in [22, 26]. The theory presented here and in [21, 23, 24] overcomes serious restrictions of this previous approach; see [25] for a comparison.
Although our approach is based on the theory of Hilbert C^*-modules, it only involves elementary algebraic constructions and can easily be adapted to a variety of settings like the purely algebraic one or the setting of von Neumann algebras, where one recovers the constructions mentioned above.
This work was supported by the SFB 478 "Geometrische Strukturen in der Mathematik"[1] and partially pursued during a stay at the "Special Programme on Operator Algebras" at the Fields Institute in Toronto, Canada, 2007.

Plan Let us describe the plan of this paper in more detail.
In the first part, we introduce a new class of modules over C^*-algebras and a relative tensor product for such modules that is closely modeled after Connes' fusion of correspondences. The relative tensor product has nice functorial properties and can be considered as the composition in a bicategory of modules. We carefully motivate our definitions and explain the close relation between the setting of C^*-algebras and W^*-algebras which appears both on the formal level and in form of several functors.
In the second part, we consider C^*-algebras and W^*-algebras represented on modules and construct a fiber product of such algebras. We start with a reformulation of Sauvageot's construction [16] for W^*-algebras and then introduce a spatial fiber product of C^*-algebras. As in the setting of W^*-algebras, our fiber product is functorial, but unfortunately it fails to be associative. This deficiency is shared by Takeuchi's $\times_R$-product; in all of our applications [21, 23, 24], non-associativity will be compensated by some form of coassociativity. In the final subsection, we study fiber products of commutative C^*-algebras and give some examples.
In the appendix, we construct a minimal fiber product of C^*-algebras that is independent of chosen representations as a Kan extension.

---

[1] funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)

Terminology and notation Given a subset Y of a normed space X, we denote by $[Y] \subset X$ the closed linear span of Y.

All sesquilinear maps like inner products of Hilbert spaces are assumed to be conjugate-linear in the first component and linear in the second one. Let H, K be Hilbert spaces. We canonically identify $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ with a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(H \oplus K)$. Given subsets $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $Y \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H, K)$, we denote by X' the commutant of X and by $[\![Y]\!]$ the σ-weak closure of Y.

Given a C^*-subalgebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ and a $*$-homomorphism $\pi\colon A \to \mathcal{L}(K)$, let

$$\mathcal{L}^{\pi}(H, K) := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K) \mid Ta = \pi(a)T \text{ for all } a \in A\}. \tag{1}$$

We use the ket-bra notation and define for each $\xi \in H$ operators $|\xi\rangle\colon \mathbb{C} \to H$, $\lambda \mapsto \lambda\xi$, and $\langle\xi| = |\xi\rangle^*\colon H \to \mathbb{C}$, $\xi' \mapsto \langle\xi|\xi'\rangle$.

Hilbert C^*-modules We shall extensively use (right) Hilbert C^*-modules [12].

Let A and B be C^*-algebras. Given Hilbert C^*-modules E and F over B, we denote the space of all adjointable operators $E \to F$ by $\mathcal{L}_B(E, F)$. Let E and F be C^*-modules over A and B, respectively, and let $\pi\colon A \to \mathcal{L}_B(F)$ be a $*$-homomorphism. Then the internal tensor product $E \otimes_\pi F$ is the Hilbert C^*-module over B [12, §4] which is the closed linear span of elements $\eta \otimes_\pi \xi$, where $\eta \in E$ and $\xi \in F$ are arbitrary, and $\langle \eta \otimes_\pi \xi | \eta' \otimes_\pi \xi'\rangle = \langle \xi | \pi(\langle \eta|\eta'\rangle)\xi'\rangle$ and $(\eta \otimes_\pi \xi)b = \eta \otimes_\pi \xi b$ for all $\eta, \eta' \in E$, $\xi, \xi' \in F$, and $b \in B$. We denote the internal tensor product by "$\ogreaterthan$" and drop the index π if the representation is understood; thus, for example, $E \ogreaterthan F = E \ogreaterthan_\pi F = E \otimes_\pi F$.

We also define a *flipped internal tensor product* $F {}_\pi\!\olessthan E$ as follows. We equip the algebraic tensor product $F \odot E$ with the structure maps $\langle \xi \odot \eta | \xi' \odot \eta'\rangle := \langle \xi | \pi(\langle \eta|\eta'\rangle)\xi'\rangle$, $(\xi \odot \eta)b := \xi b \odot \eta$, form the separated completion, and obtain a Hilbert C^*-B-module $F {}_\pi\!\olessthan E$ which is the closed linear span of elements $\xi {}_\pi\!\olessthan \eta$, where $\eta \in E$ and $\xi \in F$ are arbitrary, and $\langle \xi {}_\pi\!\olessthan \eta | \xi' {}_\pi\!\olessthan \eta'\rangle = \langle \xi | \pi(\langle \eta|\eta'\rangle)\xi'\rangle$ and $(\xi {}_\pi\!\olessthan \eta)b = \xi b {}_\pi\!\olessthan \eta$ for all $\eta, \eta' \in E$, $\xi, \xi' \in F$, and $b \in B$. As above, we drop the index π and simply write "$\olessthan$" instead of "${}_\pi\!\olessthan$" if the representation π is understood. Evidently, the usual and the flipped internal tensor product are related by a unitary map $\Sigma\colon F \ogreaterthan E \xrightarrow{\cong} E \olessthan F$, $\eta \ogreaterthan \xi \mapsto \xi \olessthan \eta$.

For each $\xi \in E$, the maps

$$l^{\pi}_F(\xi)\colon F \to E \ogreaterthan F,\ \eta \mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan \eta, \qquad r^{\pi}_F(\xi)\colon F \to F \olessthan E,\ \eta \mapsto \eta \olessthan \xi,$$

are adjointable operators, and for all $\eta \in F$, $\xi' \in E$,

$$l^{\pi}_F(\xi)^*(\xi' \ogreaterthan \eta) = \pi(\langle \xi|\xi'\rangle)\eta = r^{\pi}_F(\xi)^*(\eta \olessthan \xi').$$

Again, we drop the supscript π in $l^{\pi}_F(\xi)$ and $r^{\pi}_F(\xi)$ if this representation is understood.

Finally, let E_1, E_2 be C^*-modules over A, let F_1, F_2 be C^*-modules over B with $*$-homomorphisms $\pi_i\colon A \to \mathcal{L}_B(F_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, and let $S \in \mathcal{L}_A(E_1, E_2)$, $T \in \mathcal{L}_B(F_1, F_2)$ such that $T\pi_1(a) = \pi_2(a)T$ for all $a \in A$. Then there exists a unique operator $S \ogreaterthan T \in \mathcal{L}_B(E_1 \ogreaterthan F_1, E_2 \ogreaterthan F_2)$ such that $(S \ogreaterthan T)(\eta \ogreaterthan \xi) = S\eta \ogreaterthan T\xi$ for all $\eta \in E_1$, $\xi \in F_1$, and $(S \ogreaterthan T)^* = S^* \ogreaterthan T^*$ [5, Proposition 1.34].

Weights We shall use the theory of proper KMS-weights on C^*-algebras [10] and adopt the following conventions. Let μ be a faithful proper KMS-weight on a C^*-algebra B. We denote by $\mathfrak{N}_\mu = \{b \in B \mid \mu(b^*b) < \infty\}$ the space of square-integrable elements, by σ^μ the modular automorphism group, by H_μ the GNS-space, by $\Lambda_\mu \colon \mathfrak{N}_\mu \to H_\mu$ the GNS-map, and by $J_\mu \colon H_\mu \to H_\mu$ the modular conjugation associated to μ.

We denote by B^{op} the opposite C^*-algebra of B, which coincides with B as a Banach space with involution but has the reversed multiplication, and by $\mu^{op} \colon B^{op} \to \mathbb{C}$ the opposite state of μ, given by $\mu^{op}(b^{op}) := \mu(b)$ for all $b \in B$. One easily verifies that μ^{op} is a faithful proper KMS-weight, that the modular automorphism group $\sigma^{\mu^{op}}$ is given by $\sigma_t^{\mu^{op}}(b^{op}) = \sigma_{-t}^{\mu}(b)^{op}$ for all $b \in B$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and that $\mathfrak{N}_{\mu^{op}} = (\mathfrak{N}_\mu^*)^{op}$. Moreover, one can always choose the GNS-space and GNS-map for μ^{op} such that $H_{\mu^{op}} = H_\mu$ and $\Lambda_{\mu^{op}}(b^{op}) = J_\mu \Lambda_\mu(b^*)$ for all $b \in \mathfrak{N}_\mu^*$, and then $J_{\mu^{op}} = J_\mu$ and $\pi_{\mu^{op}}(b^{op}) = J_\mu \pi_\mu(b)^* J_\mu$ for all $b \in B$.

We shall also use the theory of normal semifinite faithful weights on W^*-algebras and von Neumann algebras, standard results and notation of Tomita–Takesaki theory [19], and the fact that every faithful proper KMS-weight μ on a C^*-algebra B extends uniquely to a normal semifinite faithful weight $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\pi_\mu(B)''$. Usually, we will identify B and B^{op} with operators on H_μ without explicitly mentioning π_μ or $\pi_{\mu^{op}}$.

2 The relative tensor product

In this section, we introduce a framework of bimodules over operator algebras and a relative tensor product for such modules that is closely modeled after Connes' fusion of correspondences [3]. The main definitions and constructions are of a purely algebraic nature; therefore, we can treat the case of C^*-algebras and of W^*-algebras simultaneously.

2.1 Motivation

The main problem in the construction of a relative tensor product for modules over C^*-algebras is to find the appropriate notion of a module. In this subsection, we motivate our approach.

Let us first recall the construction of the relative tensor product in the setting of von Neumann algebras [3, 9, 16]. The starting point is a W^*-algebra B with a normal semifinite faithful weight μ, a representation of the opposite W^*-algebra B^{op} on a Hilbert H, and a representation of B on a Hilbert space K.

The original construction of Connes involves the concept. An element $\eta \in H_B$ is called *μ^{op}-bounded* if there exists a (necessarily unique) operator $R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta) \colon H_\mu \to H$ such that $R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta)\Lambda_{\mu^{op}}(b^{op}) = b^{op}\eta$ for all $b^{op} \in \mathfrak{N}_{\mu^{op}}$. The space of all μ^{op}-bounded elements is denoted by $D(H, \mu^{op})$.

Let us identify B and B^{op} with operators on H_μ as in Section 1. Since each $R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta)$ commutes with left multiplication by elements of B^{op}, we have that $R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta)^* R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta') \in (B^{op})' = B \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_\mu)$ for all $\eta, \eta' \in D(H; \mu^{op})$. Therefore, we can define a B-valued sesquilinear product $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_\mu$ on $D(H;\mu^{op})$ by

$$\langle\eta|\eta'\rangle_\mu = R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta)^* R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta') \quad \text{for all } \eta,\eta' \in D(H;\mu^{op}) \tag{2}$$

and a sesquilinear form $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on the algebraic tensor product $D(H;\mu^{op}) \odot K$ by

$$\langle\eta \odot \xi|\eta' \odot \xi'\rangle := \langle\xi|\langle\eta|\eta'\rangle_\mu \xi'\rangle \quad \text{for all } \eta,\eta' \in H,\, \xi,\xi' \in K. \tag{3}$$

The relative tensor product of H and K with respect to μ is the Hilbert space obtained from $D(H;\mu^{op}) \odot K$ by forming the separated completion with respect to this sesquilinear form.

Equivalently, one can define the relative tensor product without reference to bounded elements. Let

$$I := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H_\mu, H) \mid Tb^{op} = b^{op}T \text{ for all } b^{op} \in B^{op}\}. \tag{4}$$

Then $I^*I \subseteq (B^{op})' = B$, and we can define a sesquilinear form $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ on the algebraic tensor product $I \odot K$ by $\langle T \odot \xi|T' \odot \xi'\rangle := \langle\xi|(T^*T')\xi'\rangle$ for all $T, T' \in I$, $\xi, \xi' \in K$. Evidently, the map $D(H;\mu^{op}) \odot K \to I \odot K$ given by $\eta \odot \xi \mapsto R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta) \odot \xi$ extends to an isometry between the Hilbert space completions for the respective sesquilinear forms introduced above, and one can show that this isometry is an isomorphism.

Let us note that in the setting of von Neumann algebras, the representation of B^{op} on H is completely determined by the space I and conversely [18]; this correspondence is an easy consequence of von Neumann's bicommutant theorem.

We want to adapt the construction outlined above to the setting of C^*-algebras and face the following problem. If B is a C^*-algebra, then the commutant $(B^{op})' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_\mu)$ is B'' and in general not B, and we can not use formula (2) to define a sesquilinear form as in (3).

Apparently, we need to replace the space $D(H;\mu^{op})$ or, equivalently, the space I defined in (4) by a subspace $\tilde{I} \subseteq I$ that is small enough in the sense that $\tilde{I}^*\tilde{I} \subseteq B$ and large enough in the sense that $\tilde{I}H_\mu$ is dense in H. In general, such a subspace $\tilde{I}$ is not uniquely determined by a representation of B^{op} on H alone. But conversely, each such subspace $\tilde{I}$ determines a representation of B^{op} on H by the formula $b^{op}T\zeta = Tb^{op}\zeta$ for all $T \in \tilde{I}$ and $\zeta \in H_\mu$. Therefore, we shall make such a subspace $\tilde{I}$ part of the module structure on H.

For illustration, let us consider the following special case. Assume that $B = C(X)$ for some compact space X. Then the representation of $B^{op} = B$ on H allows us to write H as a direct integral of a measurable field of Hilbert spaces on X, and I corresponds the space of all measurable essentially bounded sections of this field. Now, to choose a subspace $\tilde{I} \subset I$ as above is essentially equivalent to choosing a continuous structure on the measurable field. Usually, such a continuous structure is not uniquely determined by the measurable structure.

2.2 Background on modules of operators

Before we introduce the classes of modules involved in the relative tensor product construction, we fix some terminology and recall several results on modules of operator algebras that are concrete in the sense that they are represented as operators between Hilbert spaces. We denote by $[X]$ the norm-closed and by $[\![X]\!]$ the σ-weakly closed linear span of a set X of operators.

Definition 2.1. A (nondegenerate) concrete C^*-algebra $A_H = (H, A)$ *consists of a Hilbert space* H *and a (nondegenerate) norm-closed* $*$*-subalgebra* $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$*.* A (nondegenerate) concrete *C^*-module* $E_H^K = (H, K, E)$ *consists of Hilbert spaces* H, K *and a norm-closed subspace* $E \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ *satisfying* $EE^*E \subseteq E$ *(and* $[EH] = K$*,* $[E^*K] = H$*). Replacing norm-closures by the* σ*-weak closures, we similarly define (nondegenerate) concrete W^*-algebras and W^*-modules.*

Given a concrete C^*-algebra A_H, we identify $M(A)$ with a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}([AH]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$; then also $M(A)_H$ is a concrete C^*-algebra. Clearly, each concrete W^*-module is a concrete C^*-module, the weak closure of each concrete C^*-module is a concrete W^*-module, and likewise for algebras. Finally, a nondegenerate concrete W^*-algebra is the same as a von Neumann algebra.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* E_H^K *be a concrete C^*-module.*

i) $A := [E^*E]$ *and* $B := [EE^*]$ *are C^*-algebras,* E *is a Hilbert C^*-B-A-bimodule with respect to the obvious structure maps,* $[EE^*E] = E$*, and* E_H^K *is nondegenerate if and only if* A_H *and* B_K *are.*

ii) There exist isometries $m_E \colon E \otimes H \to K$, $\xi \otimes \zeta \mapsto \xi\zeta$*, and* $n_E \colon H \otimes E \to K$, $\zeta \otimes \xi \mapsto \xi\zeta$*, which are unitary if and only if* $[EH] = K$*.*

iii) There exists a normal $*$*-homomorphism* $\rho_E \colon A' \to B'$, $x \mapsto m_E(\mathrm{id}_E \otimes x)m_E^*$*, and* $\rho_E(x)|_{[EH]^\perp} = 0$ *and* $\rho_E(x)\xi\zeta = \xi x\zeta$ *for all* $x \in A'$, $\xi \in E$, $\zeta \in H$*.*

iv) The embedding $E \otimes H \hookrightarrow [\![E]\!] \otimes H$ *is equal to* $m_{[\![E]\!]}^* m_E$ *and an isomorphism, and* $\rho_{[\![E]\!]} = \rho_E$*.*

Proof. i)–iii) Straightforward; for the relation $[EE^*E] = E$, see [12, p. 5]. □

Proposition 2.3. *There exist categories*

- $\mathbf{C^*\text{-mod}}$*, whose objects are all concrete C^*-algebras and whose morphisms between objects* A_H *and* B_K *are all concrete C^*-modules* E_H^K *satisfying* $E^*E \subseteq A$, $EA \subseteq E$, $EE^* \subseteq B$, $BE \subseteq E$*; the composition of morphisms* E_H^K *and* F_K^L *being* $[FE]_H^L$*;*
- $\mathbf{W^*\text{-mod}}$*, which is defined similarly like* $\mathbf{C^*\text{-mod}}$*, but C^*-algebras and C^*-modules are replaced by W^*-algebras and W^*-modules, and the composition of morphisms* E_H^K *and* F_K^L *is* $[\![FE]\!]_H^L$*;*
- $\mathbf{vN}$*, whose objects are all von Neumann algebras and whose morphisms are all normal* $*$*-homomorphisms.*

There exist functors

- $[\![\,\cdot\,]\!] \colon \mathbf{C^*\text{-mod}} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-mod}}$*, given by* $A_H \mapsto [\![A]\!]_H$*,* $E_H^K \mapsto [\![E]\!]_H^K$*;*

- $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod} \to \mathbf{vN}$, *given by* $A_H \mapsto A'_H$, $E^K_H \mapsto \rho_E$;
- $\mathbf{vN} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}$, *given by* $A_H \mapsto A'_H$, $\rho \mapsto \mathcal{L}^\rho(H,K)$ *for all* $A_H, B_K \in \operatorname{ob}\mathbf{vN}$, $\rho \in \mathbf{vN}(A_H, B_K)$.

The last two functors restrict to inverse isomorphisms of $\mathbf{vN}$ *with the full subcategory of* $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}$ *formed by all von Neumann algebras, that is, nondegenerate concrete* W^**-algebras.*

Proof. The existence of the categories and functors is evident. The last assertion above is proved in [18]. ☐

The preceding proposition implies the following easy result:

Proposition 2.4. *Let* E^K_H *be a concrete* C^**-module and* $A = [E^*E]$, $B = [EE^*]$.

i) In $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}$, E^K_H *is an isomorphism from* A_H *to* B_K *with inverse* $(E^*)^H_K$.

ii) For each nondegenerate concrete C^**–algebra* C_L, *we have* $\rho_C = \mathrm{id}_{C'}$.

iii) ρ_{E^*} *is left/right inverse to* ρ_E *if* A *is nondegenerate/if* B *is nondegenerate.*

Corresponding assertions hold for concrete W^**-modules and* W^**-algebras.*

2.3 Modules and bimodules over algebras and bases

We shall define a relative tensor product for the following classes of modules:

Definition 2.5. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ *be a nondegenerate concrete* C^**-algebra. A* C^**-*$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$*-*module $H_\alpha = (H, \alpha)$ *is a Hilbert space* H *with a nondegenerate concrete* C^**-module* $\alpha^H_{\mathfrak{H}}$ *satisfying* $[\alpha^*\alpha] = \mathfrak{A}$. *We denote by* $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ *the category of all* C^**-*$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$*-modules, where the set of morphisms between objects* H_α *and* K_β *is* $\mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\beta) := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H,K) \mid T\alpha \subseteq \beta,\, T^*\beta \subseteq \alpha\}$.
Let $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ *be a von Neumann algebra. We define the category* $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ *of* W^**-*$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$*-modules similarly as* $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$, *replacing the norm-closure by the* σ*-weak closure.*

The following properties of morphisms are easily verified:

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ *be a nondegenerate concrete* C^**-algebra (or* W^**-algebra),* H_α, K_β C^**-*$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$*-modules (or* W^**-*$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$*-modules), and* $S \in \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\beta)$. *Then the map* $S_*\colon \alpha \to \beta$ *given by* $\xi \mapsto S\xi$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\alpha,\beta)$, *and* $(S_*)^* = (S^*)_*$, $S = m_\beta(S_* \otimes \mathrm{id})m^*_\alpha$ *and* $S\rho_\alpha(a) = \rho_\beta(a)S$ *for all* $a \in \mathfrak{A}'$.

The categories of modules introduced above are related to the following categories of representations and of Hilbert C^*-modules. For each C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, we denote by $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ the category of all Hilbert C^*-modules over $\mathfrak{A}$ with all adjointable operators as morphisms. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ be a von Neumann algebra. A representation of $\mathfrak{A}$ is a Hilbert space H with a faithful normal nondegenerate $*$-homomorphism $\rho\colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathcal{L}(H)$, briefly written $H^\rho = (H, \rho)$. We denote by

$\mathbf{W^*\text{-}rep}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ the category of all representations of $\mathfrak{A}$, where the set of morphisms between representations H^ρ and K^σ is $\mathcal{L}(H^\rho, K^\sigma) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H,K) \mid T\rho(a) = \sigma(a)T \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$.

Proposition 2.7. *For each nondegenerate concrete C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$, there exist functors*

- $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}} \to \mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$, *given by* $E \mapsto (l_{\mathfrak{H}}(E))_{\mathfrak{H}}^{E \circleddash \mathfrak{H}}$ *and* $T \mapsto T \circleddash \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{H}}$*;*
- $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} \to \mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}}$, *given by* $H_\alpha \mapsto \alpha$ *and* $T \mapsto T_*$*;*
- $[\![\cdot]\!] \colon \mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}_{[\![\mathfrak{A}]\!]_{\mathfrak{H}}}$, *given by* $H_\alpha \mapsto H_{[\![\alpha]\!]}$ *and* $T \mapsto T$*;*
- $\mathbf{mr} \colon \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}_{[\![\mathfrak{A}]\!]_{\mathfrak{H}}} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-}rep}_{\mathfrak{A}'}$, *given by* $H_\alpha \mapsto (H, \rho_\alpha)$ *and* $T \mapsto T$*;*
- $\mathbf{rm} \colon \mathbf{W^*\text{-}rep}_{\mathfrak{A}'} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}_{[\![\mathfrak{A}]\!]_{\mathfrak{H}}}$, *given by* $(H, \rho) \mapsto (H, \mathcal{L}^\rho(\mathfrak{H}, H))$ *and* $T \mapsto T$*.*

The functors **mr** *and* **rm** *are inverse equivalences of categories.*

Proof. Immediate from Proposition 2.3 □

Next, we introduce bimodules. To motivate the definition given below, let us first consider W^*-bimodules over von Neumann algebras, $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{K}}$, say. Clearly, a W^*-$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$-$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{K}}$-bimodule should be a triple (H, α, β), where H_α is a W^*-$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$-module, H_β a W^*-$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{K}}$-module, and $(H, \rho_\alpha, \rho_\beta)$ a correspondence between $\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\mathfrak{B}'_{\mathfrak{K}}$, that is, we should have $[\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}'), \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}')] = 0$. Note that by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.6,

$$[\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}'), \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}')] = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}')\beta = \beta \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}')\alpha = \alpha. \tag{5}$$

If we pass from von Neumann algebras to concrete C^*-algebras, the equivalence breaks down — the condition on the left hand side is too weak, whereas the other two conditions are too strong. The solution is to replace the commutants $\mathfrak{A}'$ and $\mathfrak{B}'$ by suitable C^*-subalgebras.

Definition 2.8. *A* C^*-base *or* W^*-base $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^\dagger)$ *consists of a Hilbert space* $\mathfrak{H}$ *and commuting nondegenerate C^*-algebras or von Neumann algebras* $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$*, respectively. Two C^*-bases or W^*-bases* $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^\dagger)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\dagger)$ *are* equivalent *if* $\mathrm{Ad}_V(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{B}$ *and* $\mathrm{Ad}_V(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger) = \mathfrak{B}^\dagger$ *for some unitary* $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{K})$*.*

Examples 2.9. *i) We denote by* $\mathfrak{t} = (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ *the trivial C^*-base, given by the Hilbert space* $\mathbb{C}$ *and twice the C^*-subalgebra* $\mathbb{C} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C})$*.*

ii) Let $\mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^\dagger)$ *be a C^*- or W^*-base. Then* $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{A}^\dagger, \mathfrak{A})$ *is a C^*- or W^*-base again, called the* opposite *of* $\mathfrak{a}$*. If* $\mathfrak{a}$ *is a C^*-base, then* $[\![\mathfrak{a}]\!] := (\mathfrak{H}, [\![\mathfrak{A}]\!], [\![\mathfrak{A}^\dagger]\!])$ *is a W^*-base.*

iii) If $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ *is a Neumann algebra, then* $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}')$ *is a W^*-base. We call a C^*- or W^*-base* $(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\dagger)$ reduced *if* $[\mathfrak{B}', (\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)'] = 0$*, or, equivalently, if* $\mathfrak{B}'' = (\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)'$*.*

iv) *Let μ be a proper KMS-weight on a C^*-algebra A with GNS-space H_μ, GNS-representation $\pi_\mu\colon A \to \mathcal{L}(H_\mu)$, and opposite GNS-representation $\pi_{\mu^{op}}\colon A^{op} \to \mathcal{L}(H_\mu)$, $a \mapsto J_\mu \pi_\mu(a^*) J_\mu$ (see Section 1). Then the tuple $(H_\mu, \pi_\mu(A), \pi_{\mu^{op}}(A^{op}))$ is a C^*-base. Its opposite $(H_\mu, \pi_{\mu^{op}}(A^{op}), \pi_\mu(A))$ is equivalent to the C^*-base associated to the opposite weight μ^{op} on A^{op}. Indeed, H_μ can be considered as the GNS-space for μ^{op} via the opposite GNS-map $\Lambda_{\mu^{op}}\colon \mathfrak{N}_{\mu^{op}} \to H_\mu$, $a^{op} \mapsto J_\mu \Lambda_\mu(a^*)$, and then $J_{\mu^{op}} \pi_{\mu^{op}}(A^{op}) J_{\mu^{op}} = \pi_\mu(A)$; see Section 1.*

v) *Let A be a W^*-algebra. Similarly as in iv), we associate to every normal semifinite faithful weight μ on A a W^*-base $(H_\mu, \pi_\mu(A), \pi_{\mu^{op}}(A^{op}))$, and the opposite weight μ^{op} on W^{op} gives rise to the opposite W^*-base. In contrast to the setting of C^*-algebras, the W^*-bases associated to any two normal semifinite faithful weights μ and ν on A are equivalent, as one can deduce from [19, §IX.1].*

Let μ be a proper KMS-weight on a C^*-algebra A and $\mathfrak{a}$ the C^*-base associated to it as in iv). Then μ extends to a normal semifinite faithful weight $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\llbracket \pi_\mu(A) \rrbracket$, and the W^*-base associated to $\tilde{\mu}$ above can be identified with $\llbracket \mathfrak{a} \rrbracket$, as one can deduce from [11, §1.7].

From now on, we always denote C^*- and W^*-bases as follows:

$$\mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^\dagger), \quad \mathfrak{a}_j = (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{A}_j, \mathfrak{A}_j^\dagger), \quad \mathfrak{b} = (\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\dagger), \quad \mathfrak{c} = (\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}^\dagger), \ldots. \tag{6}$$

Definition 2.10. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be W^*-algebras. A* W^*-$(\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B})$-representation *is a triple ${}^\rho H^\sigma = (H, \rho, \sigma)$, where H^ρ and H^σ are representations of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$, respectively, and $[\rho(\mathfrak{A}), \sigma(\mathfrak{B})] = 0$. We denote by* $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}rep}_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B}}$ *the category of all W^*-$(\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B})$-representations, where the set of morphisms between objects ${}^\rho H^\sigma$ and ${}^{\tilde{\rho}}\tilde{H}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is $\mathcal{L}({}^\rho H^\sigma, {}^{\tilde{\rho}}\tilde{H}^{\tilde{\sigma}}) = \mathcal{L}(H^\rho, \tilde{H}^{\tilde{\rho}}) \cap \mathcal{L}(H^\sigma, \tilde{H}^{\tilde{\sigma}})$.*
Let $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ be C^*-bases. A C^*-$(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})$-module *is a triple ${}_\alpha H_\beta = (H, \alpha, \beta)$, where H_α is a C^*-$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$-module, H_β a C^*-$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{K}}$-module, and $[\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger)\beta] = \beta$, $[\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)\alpha] = \alpha$. We denote by* $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}$ *the category of all C^*-$(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})$-modules, where the set of morphisms between objects ${}_\alpha H_\beta$ and ${}_\gamma K_\delta$ is $\mathcal{L}({}_\alpha H_\beta, {}_\gamma K_\delta) := \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\gamma) \cap \mathcal{L}(H_\beta, K_\delta)$. If $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are W^*-bases, we similarly define the category* $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}$ *of W^*-$(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})$-modules.*

Till the end of this subsection, let $\mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^\dagger)$ and $\mathfrak{b} = (\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\dagger)$ be C^*-bases. Proposition 2.7 and relation (5) imply:

Proposition 2.11. *There exists a functor $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\colon$ $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}_{\llbracket\mathfrak{a}\rrbracket,\llbracket\mathfrak{b}\rrbracket}$ given by ${}_\alpha H_\beta \mapsto {}_{\llbracket\alpha\rrbracket} H_{\llbracket\beta\rrbracket}$ and $T \mapsto T$. If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are reduced, there exist functors*

- $\mathbf{mr}\colon \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}_{\llbracket\mathfrak{a}\rrbracket,\llbracket\mathfrak{b}\rrbracket} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-}rep}_{\mathfrak{A}',\mathfrak{B}'}$, *given by ${}_\alpha H_\beta \mapsto (H, \rho_\alpha, \rho_\beta)$ and $T \mapsto T$;*
- $\mathbf{rm}\colon \mathbf{W^*\text{-}rep}_{\mathfrak{A}',\mathfrak{B}'} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}_{\llbracket\mathfrak{a}\rrbracket,\llbracket\mathfrak{b}\rrbracket}$, *given by the assignments ${}^\rho H^\sigma \mapsto (H, \mathcal{L}^\rho(\mathfrak{H}, H), \mathcal{L}^\sigma(\mathfrak{K}, H))$ and $T \mapsto T$;*

and then the functors **mr** *and* **rm** *are inverse isomorphisms of categories.*

Examples 2.12. *i)* ${}_{\mathfrak{A}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ *is a* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{a})$*-module since* $[\rho_{\mathfrak{A}^\dagger}(\mathfrak{A})\mathfrak{A}] = [\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{A}] = \mathfrak{A}$ *and* $[\rho_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger)\mathfrak{A}^\dagger] = [\mathfrak{A}^\dagger\mathfrak{A}^\dagger] = \mathfrak{A}^\dagger$ *by Proposition 2.4 ii).*

ii) Let H *be a Hilbert space and* $|H\rangle = \{|\xi\rangle \mid \xi \in H\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, H)$*. Then* $(H, |H\rangle)$ *is a* C^**-*$\mathfrak{t}$*-module, where* $\mathfrak{t}$ *is the trivial* C^**-base (Example 2.9 i)). If* H_α *is a* C^**-*$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$*-module, then* $(H, \alpha, |H\rangle)$ *is a* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{t})$*-module.*

iii) If $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}^\dagger$*, then* $\mathfrak{a}^\dagger = \mathfrak{a}$*, and if additionally* H_α *is a* C^**-*$\mathfrak{a}$*-module, then* ${}_\alpha H_\alpha$ *is a* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{a})$*-module because then* $[\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger)\alpha] = [\alpha\mathfrak{A}^\dagger] = \alpha$*.*

iv) The category $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}$ *has direct sums, which can be constructed as follows. Let* $(\mathcal{H}_i)_i$ *be a family of* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$*-modules, where* $\mathcal{H}_i = (H_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$ *for each* i*. Denote by* $\boxplus_i\alpha_i \subseteq \mathcal{L}\big(\mathfrak{H}, \oplus_i H_i\big)$ *the norm-closed linear span of all operators of the form* $\zeta \mapsto (\xi_i\zeta)_i$*, where* $(\xi_i)_i$ *is contained in the algebraic direct sum* $\bigoplus_i^{\mathrm{alg}} \alpha_j$*, and similarly define* $\boxplus_i\beta_i \subseteq \mathcal{L}\big(\mathfrak{K}, \oplus_i H_i\big)$*. One easily verifies that the triple* $\boxplus_i\mathcal{H}_i := \big(\oplus_i H_i, \boxplus_i\alpha_i, \boxplus_i\beta_i\big)$ *is a* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$*-module, that for each* j*, the canonical inclusions* $\iota_j \colon H_j \to \oplus_i H_i$ *and projection* $\pi_j \colon \oplus_i H_i \to H_j$ *are morphisms* $\mathcal{H}_j \to \boxplus_i\mathcal{H}_i$ *and* $\boxplus_i\mathcal{H}_i \to \mathcal{H}_j$*, and that with respect to these maps,* $\boxplus_i\mathcal{H}_i$ *is the direct sum of the family* $(\mathcal{H}_i)_i$*.*

Another important source of C^**-*$(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}^\dagger)$*-modules is discussed in Example 3.3. Of course, each of the preceding examples has an analogue in the setting of* W^**-algebras.*

2.4 The relative tensor product in the setting of C^*-algebras

The relative tensor product of modules introduced below is a simple algebraic reformulation of Connes' fusion and was motivated already in Subsection 2.1. We first consider the setting of C^*-algebras. Throughout this subsection, let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}$ be C^*-bases as in (6). Let H_β be a C^*-$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{K}}$-module and K_γ a C^*-$\mathfrak{B}^\dagger_{\mathfrak{K}}$-module. Then the *relative tensor product* of H_β and K_γ is the Hilbert space

$$H {}_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K := \beta \ogreaterthan \mathfrak{K} \olessthan \gamma.$$

It is spanned by elements $\xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \eta$, where $\xi \in \beta$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{K}$, $\eta \in \gamma$, and the inner product is given by $\langle \xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \eta | \xi' \ogreaterthan \zeta' \olessthan \eta'\rangle = \langle \zeta | \xi^*\xi'\eta^*\eta'\zeta'\rangle = \langle \zeta | \eta^*\eta'\xi^*\xi'\zeta'\rangle$ for all $\xi, \xi' \in \beta$, $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathfrak{K}$, $\eta, \eta' \in \gamma$. By definition and by Proposition 2.2 ii), there exist isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma \colon H {}_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K &\to K {}_\gamma\underset{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger}{\otimes}{}_\beta H, & \xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \eta &\mapsto \eta \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \xi,\\
n_{\beta,\gamma} := \mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan n_\gamma \colon H {}_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K &\to \beta \ogreaterthan_{\rho_\gamma} K, & \xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \eta &\mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan \eta\zeta,\\
m_{\beta,\gamma} := m_\beta \olessthan \mathrm{id} \colon H {}_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K &\to H {}_{\rho_\beta}\!\olessthan \gamma, & \xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \eta &\mapsto \xi\zeta \olessthan \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 2.13. *If* $\mathfrak{b}$ *is the trivial* C^**-base* $\mathfrak{t}$ *(Example 2.9 i)), then* $\beta = |H\rangle$*,* $\gamma = |K\rangle$*, and* $H {}_{|H\rangle}\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{\otimes}{}_{|K\rangle} K \cong H \otimes K$ *via* $|\xi\rangle \ogreaterthan \lambda \olessthan |\eta\rangle \mapsto \lambda\xi \otimes \eta$*.*

The proof of the following result is straightforward:

Lemma 2.14. *i) For each $\xi \in \beta$ and $\eta \in \gamma$, there exist operators $|\xi\rangle_1 \in \mathcal{L}(K, H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K)$ and $|\eta\rangle_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H, H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K)$ with adjoints $\langle\xi|_1 := |\xi\rangle_1^*$ and $\langle\eta|_2 := |\eta\rangle_2^*$ such that for all $\xi' \in \beta$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{K}$, $\eta' \in \gamma$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi\rangle_1(\eta'\zeta) &= \xi \olessthan \zeta \ogreaterthan \eta', & \langle\xi|_1(\xi' \olessthan \zeta \ogreaterthan \eta') &= \rho_\gamma(\xi^*\xi')\eta'\zeta = \eta'\xi^*\xi'\zeta, \\ |\eta\rangle_2(\xi'\zeta) &= \xi' \olessthan \zeta \ogreaterthan \eta, & \langle\eta|_2(\xi' \olessthan \zeta \ogreaterthan \eta') &= \rho_\beta(\eta^*\eta')\xi'\zeta = \xi'\eta^*\eta'\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

ii) $|\beta\rangle_1 := \big\{|\xi\rangle_1 \,\big|\, \xi \in \beta\big\}$ is a concrete C^*-$\rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})_K$-module and $|\gamma\rangle_2 := \big\{|\eta\rangle_2 \,\big|\, \eta \in \beta\big\}$ a concrete C^*-$\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)_H$-module.

We put $\langle\beta|_1 := |\beta\rangle_1^*$, $\langle\gamma|_2 := |\gamma\rangle_2^*$. Note that $[|\beta\rangle_1\gamma] = [|\gamma\rangle_2\beta] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}, H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K)$. By Proposition 2.2 and 2.4, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_{|\beta\rangle_1} \colon \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})' \to [|\beta\rangle_1\langle\beta|_1]' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K), \quad T \mapsto \mathrm{id} \olessthan n_\gamma^* T n_\gamma =: \mathrm{id} \olessthan T, \\ &\rho_{|\gamma\rangle_2} \colon \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)' \to [|\gamma\rangle_2\langle\gamma|_2]' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K), \quad S \mapsto m_\beta^* S m_\beta \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id} =: S \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.15. *Let $T \in \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})'$, $S \in \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)'$. If one of the following conditions holds, then $[\mathrm{id} \olessthan T, S \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id}] = 0$: i) $T \in \mathcal{L}(K_\gamma)$, ii) $S \in \mathcal{L}(H_\beta)$, iii) $\mathfrak{b}$ is reduced.*

Proof. Let $\omega = \xi \olessthan \zeta \ogreaterthan \eta$, $\omega' = \xi' \olessthan \zeta' \ogreaterthan \eta' \in H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K$. Then $\eta^*T\eta' \in \mathfrak{B}'$, $\xi^*S\xi' \in (\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)'$. In case i), $\eta^*T\eta' \in \mathfrak{B}^\dagger$; in case ii), $\xi^*S\xi' \in \mathfrak{B}$. In all cases, $\eta^*T\eta'$ and $\xi^*S\xi'$ commute and $\langle\omega|(\mathrm{id} \olessthan T)(S \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id})\omega'\rangle = \langle\zeta|(\eta^*T\eta')(\xi^*S\xi')\zeta'\rangle = \langle\zeta|(\xi^*S\xi')(\eta^*T\eta')\zeta'\rangle = \langle\omega|(S \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \olessthan T)\omega'\rangle$. ☐

If $T \in \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})'$ and $S \in \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)'$ satisfy one of the conditions i)-iii) above, we put

$$S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} T := (\mathrm{id} \olessthan T)(S \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id}) = (S \ogreaterthan \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} \olessthan T) \in \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K).$$

Given C^*- or W^*-algebras $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_\beta)$ and $B \subseteq \mathcal{L}(K_\gamma)$, we denote by

$$A \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K) \quad / \quad A \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{\otimes}} B \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K) \tag{7}$$

the C^*- or W^*-algebra, respectively, generated by all operators $a \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} b$, where $a \in A$, $b \in B$.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $\mathcal{H} = {}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}$ be a C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$-module and $\mathcal{K} = {}_{\gamma}K_{\delta}$ a C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-module. Put*

$$\alpha \triangleleft \gamma := [|\gamma\rangle_2\alpha] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}, H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K), \quad \beta \triangleright \delta := [|\beta\rangle_1\delta] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{L}, H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K). \tag{8}$$

Then $\mathcal{H} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K} := {}_{(\alpha \triangleleft \gamma)}(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K)_{(\beta \triangleright \delta)}$ is a C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-module.

Proof. $(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K)_{(\alpha \triangleleft \gamma)}$ is a C^*-$\mathfrak{A}^{\dagger}_{\mathfrak{H}}$-module since $[\alpha^* \langle \gamma|_2 |\gamma\rangle_2 \alpha] = [\alpha^* \rho_{\beta}(\mathfrak{B}^{\dagger})\alpha] = [\alpha^* \alpha] = \mathfrak{A}^{\dagger}$, $[|\gamma\rangle_2 \alpha \mathfrak{A}^{\dagger}] = [|\gamma\rangle_2 \alpha]$, and $[|\gamma\rangle_2 \alpha \mathfrak{H}] = [|\gamma\rangle_2 H] = H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K$. Likewise, $(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K)_{(\beta \triangleright \delta)}$ is a C^*-$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{L}}$-module. By Proposition 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{(\alpha \triangleleft \gamma)}(a) &= \rho_{|\gamma\rangle_2}(\rho_{\alpha}(a)) = \rho_{\alpha}(a) \olessthan \mathrm{id} && \text{for all } a \in (\mathfrak{A}^{\dagger})', \\ \rho_{(\beta \triangleright \delta)}(c) &= \rho_{|\beta\rangle_1}(\rho_{\delta}(c)) = \mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan \rho_{\delta}(c) && \text{for all } c \in \mathfrak{C}'. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Hence, $[\rho_{(\alpha \triangleleft \gamma)}(\mathfrak{A})|\beta\rangle_1 \delta] = [|\rho_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{A})\beta\rangle_1 \delta] = [|\beta\rangle_1 \delta]$ and $[\rho_{(\beta \triangleright \delta)}(\mathfrak{C}^{\dagger})|\gamma\rangle_2 \alpha] = [|\gamma\rangle_2 \alpha]$. $\square$

In the situation above, we call $\mathcal{H} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}$ the *relative tensor product* of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$. Note the following commutative diagram, where the morphisms are concrete C^*-modules and composition is defined as in **C*-mod**:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{H} \arrow[dr, "\alpha"] \arrow[ddrr, bend right, "\alpha \triangleleft \gamma"'] & & \mathfrak{K} \arrow[dl, "\beta"'] \arrow[dr, "\gamma"] & & \mathfrak{L} \arrow[dl, "\delta"'] \arrow[ddll, bend left, "\beta \triangleright \delta"] \\
 & H \arrow[dr, "|\gamma\rangle_2"] & & K \arrow[dl, "|\beta\rangle_1"'] & \\
 & & H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The relative tensor product has the following categorical properties:

Proposition 2.17. *Let* $\mathcal{H} = {}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}$, $\mathcal{H}^1 = {}_{\alpha_1}H^1_{\beta_1}, \mathcal{H}^2 = {}_{\alpha_2}H^2_{\beta_2}$ *be* C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^{\dagger}, \mathfrak{b})$-*modules,* $\mathcal{K} = {}_{\gamma}K_{\delta}$, $\mathcal{K}^1 = {}_{\gamma_1}K^1_{\delta_1}$, $\mathcal{K}^2 = {}_{\gamma_2}K^2_{\delta_2}$ C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^{\dagger}, \mathfrak{c})$-*modules, and* $\mathcal{L} = {}_{\epsilon}L_{\phi}$ *a* C^*-$(\mathfrak{c}^{\dagger}, \mathfrak{d})$-*module.*

i) $S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} T \in \mathcal{L}\big(\mathcal{H}^1 \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}^1, \mathcal{H}^2 \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}^2\big)$ *for all* $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^1, \mathcal{H}^2)$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}^1, \mathcal{K}^2)$.

ii) The composition of the maps

$$(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_{\gamma}K)_{(\beta \triangleright \delta)} \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{\otimes} {}_{\epsilon}L \xrightarrow{m_{(\beta \triangleright \delta),\epsilon}} (H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_{\gamma}K)_{\rho_{(\beta \triangleright \delta)}} \olessthan \epsilon \xrightarrow{n_{\beta,\gamma} \olessthan \mathrm{id}} \beta \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{\gamma}} K_{\rho_{\delta}} \olessthan \epsilon,$$

$$\beta \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{\gamma}} K_{\rho_{\delta}} \olessthan \epsilon \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \ogreaterthan m^*_{\delta,\epsilon}} \beta \ogreaterthan_{\rho_{(\gamma \triangleleft \epsilon)}} (K_{\delta} \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{\otimes} {}_{\epsilon}L) \xrightarrow{n^*_{\beta,(\gamma \triangleleft \epsilon)}} H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_{(\gamma \triangleleft \epsilon)}(K_{\delta} \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{\otimes} {}_{\epsilon}L)$$

is an isomorphism $a_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})\colon (\mathcal{H} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}) \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{H} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} (\mathcal{K} \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L})$.

iii) Put $\mathcal{U} := {}_{\mathfrak{B}^{\dagger}}\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{B}}$. *Then there exist isomorphisms*

$$r_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}(\mathcal{H}) := m_{\beta} \circ n_{\beta,\mathfrak{B}^{\dagger}} \colon \mathcal{H} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{H}, \;\; \xi \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan b^{\dagger} \mapsto \xi b^{\dagger} \zeta = \rho_{\beta}(b^{\dagger})\xi\zeta,$$

$$l_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}(\mathcal{K}) := n_{\gamma} \circ m_{\mathfrak{B},\gamma} \colon \mathcal{U} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}, \;\; b \ogreaterthan \zeta \olessthan \eta \mapsto \eta b \zeta = \rho_{\gamma}(b)\eta\zeta.$$

iv) Let $(\mathcal{H}^i)_i$ *be a family of* C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^{\dagger}, \mathfrak{b})$-*modules and* $(\mathcal{K}^j)_j$ *a family of* C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^{\dagger}, \mathfrak{c})$-*modules. For each* i, j, *denote by* $\iota^i_{\mathcal{H}} \colon \mathcal{H}^i \to \boxplus_{i'} \mathcal{H}^{i'}$, $\iota^j_{\mathcal{K}} \colon \mathcal{K}^j \to$

$\boxplus_{j'}\mathcal{K}^{j'}$ *and* $\pi^i_{\mathcal{H}}\colon \boxplus_{i'} \mathcal{H}^{i'} \to \mathcal{H}^i$, $\pi^j_{\mathcal{K}}\colon \boxplus_{j'} \mathcal{K}^{j'} \to \mathcal{K}^j$ *the canonical inclusions and projections, respectively. Then there exist inverse isomorphisms* $\boxplus_{i,j}(\mathcal{H}^i \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}^j) \leftrightarrows (\boxplus_i\mathcal{H}^i) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} (\boxplus_j\mathcal{K}^j)$ *given by* $(\omega_{i,j})_{i,j} \mapsto \sum_{i,j}(\iota^i_{\mathcal{H}} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \iota^j_{\mathcal{K}})(\omega_{i,j})$ *and* $\big((\pi^i_{\mathcal{H}} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \pi^j_{\mathcal{K}})(\omega)\big)_{i,j} \leftarrow\!\shortmid \omega$*, respectively.*

Proof. i) If S, T are as above and $\mathcal{H}^i = {}_{\alpha_i}H^i_{\beta_i}$, $\mathcal{K}^j = {}_{\gamma_j}K^j_{\delta_j}$ for $i, j = 1, 2$, then $(S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} T)|\gamma_1\rangle_2\alpha_1 = |T\gamma_1\rangle_2 S\alpha_1 \subseteq |\gamma_2\rangle_2\alpha_2$ and similarly $(S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} T)|\beta_1\rangle_1\delta_1 \subseteq |\beta_2\rangle_1\delta_2$, $(S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} T)^*|\gamma_2\rangle_2\alpha_2 \subseteq |\gamma_1\rangle_2\alpha_1$, $(S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} T)^*|\beta_2\rangle_1\delta_2 \subseteq |\beta_1\rangle_1\delta_1$.
ii) Straightforward.
iii) $r_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}(\mathcal{H}) \cdot (\alpha \lhd \mathfrak{B}^\dagger) = [\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)\alpha] = \alpha$ and $r_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}(\mathcal{H}) \cdot (\beta \rhd \mathfrak{B}) = [\beta\mathfrak{B}] = \beta$. For $l_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}(\mathcal{K})$, the arguments are similar.
iv) Straightforward. $\square$

Recall that a *bicategory* $\mathbf{B}$ consists of a class of objects $\operatorname{ob}\mathbf{B}$, a category $\mathbf{B}(A, B)$ for each $A, B \in \operatorname{ob}\mathbf{B}$ whose objects and morphisms are called *1-cells* and *2-cells*, respectively, a functor $c_{A,B,C}\colon \mathbf{B}(B, C) \times \mathbf{B}(A, B) \to \mathbf{B}(A, C)$ ("composition") for each $A, B, C \in \operatorname{ob}\mathbf{B}$, an object $1_A \in \mathbf{B}(A, A)$ ("identity") for each $A \in \operatorname{ob}\mathbf{B}$, an isomorphism $a_{A,B,C,D}(f, g, h)\colon c_{A,B,D}(c_{B,C,D}(h, g), f) \to c_{A,C,D}(h, c_{A,B,C}(g, f))$ in $\mathbf{B}(A, D)$ ("associativity") for each triple of 1-cells $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D$ in $\mathbf{B}$, and isomorphisms $l_A(f)\colon c_{A,A,B}(f, 1_A) \to f$ and $r_B(f)\colon c_{A,B,B}(1_B, f) \to f$ in $\mathbf{B}(A, B)$ for each 1-cell $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in B, subject to several axioms [13]. Tedious but straightforward calculations show:

Theorem 2.18. *There exists a bicategory* $\mathbf{C^*}$**-bimod** *such that*

- *the objects are all* C^**-bases and* $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}bimod}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) = \mathbf{C^*\text{-}mod}_{\mathfrak{a}^\dagger,\mathfrak{b}}$ *for all* C^**-bases* $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$*;*
- *the functor* $c_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}$ *is given by* $({}_\gamma K_\delta, {}_\alpha H_\beta) \mapsto {}_\alpha H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_\gamma K_\delta$ *and* $(T, S) \mapsto S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} T$*, respectively, and the identity* $1_\mathfrak{a}$ *is* ${}_{\mathfrak{A}^\dagger}\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ *for all* C^**-bases* $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{c}$, $\mathfrak{d}$*;*
- a, r, l *are as in Proposition 2.17.*

2.5 The relative tensor product in the setting of W^*-algebras

We carry over the definitions and results of Subsection 2.4 to the setting of W^*-algebras, replacing the norm closures in (8) by the σ-weak closures. Moreover, we carry over the definition of the bicategory $\mathbf{C^*}$**-bimod** and obtain a bicategory $\mathbf{W^*}$**-bimod**. These two bicategories are related by a functor as follows.
Let $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{B}'$ be bicategories. Recall that a functor $\mathbf{F}\colon \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{B}'$ consists of an assignment $\operatorname{ob}\mathbf{B} \to \operatorname{ob}\mathbf{B}', A \mapsto \mathbf{F}A$, a functor $\mathbf{F}_{A,B}\colon \mathbf{B}(A, B) \to \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{F}A, \mathbf{F}B)$ defined for each $A, B \in \operatorname{ob}\mathbf{B}$, a 2-cell $\phi_{A,B,C}(g, f)\colon c_{\mathbf{F}A,\mathbf{F}B,\mathbf{F}C}(\mathbf{F}_{B,C}g, \mathbf{F}_{A,B}f) \to \mathbf{F}_{A,C}(c_{A,B,C}(g, f))$ for each pair of 1-cells $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ in $\mathbf{B}$, and a 2-cell $\phi_A\colon 1_{\mathbf{F}A} \to \mathbf{F}_{A,A}1_A$ for each $A \in \operatorname{ob}\mathbf{B}$, subject to several axioms [13].
Again, tedious but straightforward calculations show:

Theorem 2.19. *i) Let* $\mathcal{H} = {}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}$ *be a* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$*-module and* $\mathcal{K} = {}_{\gamma}K_{\delta}$ *a* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$*-module. Then the embedding* $\beta \ogreaterthan \mathfrak{K} \olessthan \gamma \hookrightarrow [\![\beta]\!] \ogreaterthan \mathfrak{K} \olessthan [\![\gamma]\!]$ *is an isomorphism of* W^**-*$([\![\mathfrak{a}^\dagger]\!], [\![\mathfrak{c}]\!])$*-modules*

$$[\![\,\cdot\,]\!]_{(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})} \colon [\![\mathcal{H} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{K}]\!] \to [\![\mathcal{H}]\!] \underset{[\![\mathfrak{b}]\!]}{\otimes} [\![\mathcal{K}]\!].$$

ii) There exists a functor $[\![\,\cdot\,]\!] \colon$ $\mathbf{C^*}$**-bimod** $\to$ $\mathbf{W^*}$**-bimod** *given by* $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto [\![\mathfrak{a}]\!]$ *on objects,* ${}_{\alpha}H_{\beta} \mapsto [\![{}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}]\!] = {}_{[\![\alpha]\!]}H_{[\![\beta]\!]}$ *on 1-cells, the identity on 2-cells,* $\phi_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}({}_{\gamma}K_{\delta}, {}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}) = [\![\,\cdot\,]\!]^{-1}_{({}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}, {}_{\gamma}K_{\delta})}$ *for each pair of 1-cells* $\mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{{}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}} \mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{{}_{\gamma}K_{\delta}} \mathfrak{c}$ *in* $\mathbf{C^*}$**-bimod***, and* $\phi_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ *for each object* $\mathfrak{a}$*.*

The preceding constructions are related to Connes' fusion (see Subsection 2.1) as follows.

Proposition 2.20. *Let* B *be a* W^**-algebra,* H^ρ *a* W^**-*B^{op}*-representation,* K^σ *a* W^**-*B*-representation, and* μ *a normal semifinite faithful weight on* B *with associated* W^**-base* $\mathfrak{b}$ *(Example 2.9 v)). Put*

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\rho} := \rho \circ \pi^{-1}_{\mu^{op}} \colon \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\pi^{-1}_{\mu^{op}}} B^{op} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{L}(H), && \tilde{\sigma} := \sigma \circ \pi_{\mu}^{-1} \colon \mathfrak{B} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mu}^{-1}} B \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{L}(K), \\ &\beta := \mathcal{L}^{\tilde{\rho}}(\mathfrak{H}, H), && \gamma := \mathcal{L}^{\tilde{\sigma}}(\mathfrak{H}, K). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a unitary $V_{H^\rho,K^\sigma} \colon H_\rho \underset{\mu}{\otimes} {}_{\sigma}K \to H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K$ *given by* $\eta \underset{\mu}{\otimes} \omega \mapsto R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta) \ogreaterthan n^*_{\gamma}(\omega)$ *for all* $\eta \in D(H; \mu^{op})$*,* $\omega \in K$*.*

Proof. Let $\eta, \eta' \in D(H; \mu^{op})$. Then $\sigma(\langle \eta | \eta' \rangle_{\mu^{op}}) = \tilde{\sigma}(R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta)^* R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta'))$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = \rho_{\gamma}|_{\mathfrak{B}}$ by Proposition 2.3. Now, there exists an isometry V_{H^ρ,K^σ} as claimed because for all $\omega, \omega' \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta \underset{\mu}{\otimes} \omega | \eta' \underset{\mu}{\otimes} \omega' \rangle &= \langle \omega | \rho_{\gamma}(R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta)^* R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta')) \omega' \rangle \\ &= \langle R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta) \ogreaterthan n^*_{\gamma}(\omega) | R_{\mu^{op}}(\eta') \ogreaterthan n^*_{\gamma}(\omega') \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This isometry is surjective because the relation $[R_{\mu^{op}}(D(H; \mu^{op})) H_{\mu}] = H$ [19, (proof of) Lemma IX.3.3] implies

$$\begin{aligned} (m_{\beta} \olessthan \mathrm{id})(V_{H^\rho,K^\sigma}(H_\rho \underset{\mu}{\otimes} {}_{\sigma}K)) &= [R_{\mu^{op}}(D(H; \mu^{op})) H_{\mu}] \olessthan \gamma \\ &= (m_{\beta} \olessthan \mathrm{id})(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K). \end{aligned}$$

□

Propositions 2.3 and 2.20 imply:

Corollary 2.21. *Let* $\mathfrak{b}$ *be the* C^**-base associated to a proper KMS-weight* μ *on a* C^**-algebra* B *(Example 2.9 iv)), let* H_β *be a* C^**-*$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{K}}$*-module, and let* K_γ *be a* C^**-*$\mathfrak{B}^\dagger_{\mathfrak{K}}$*-module. Denote by* $\tilde{\mu}$ *the normal semifinite faithful extension of*

μ to $[\![\mathfrak{B}]\!]$, identify $[\![\mathfrak{B}]\!]^{op}$ with $[\![\mathfrak{B}]\!]'$, and put $\rho := \rho_\beta \colon [\![\mathfrak{B}]\!]^{op} \to \mathcal{L}(H)$, $\sigma := \rho_\gamma \colon [\![\mathfrak{B}]\!] \to \mathcal{L}(K)$. Then $[\![\beta]\!] = \mathcal{L}^\rho(H_\mu, H)$, $[\![\gamma]\!] = \mathcal{L}^\sigma(H_\mu, K)$, and we have isomorphisms

$$H_\rho \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\sigma K \xrightarrow{V_{H^\rho, K^\sigma}} H_{[\![\beta]\!]} \underset{[\![\mathfrak{b}]\!]}{\otimes} {}_{[\![\gamma]\!]} K \xleftarrow{\cong} H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_\gamma K.$$

There exists a bicategory such that the objects are W^*-algebras with fixed normal semifinite faithful weights, the category of morphisms between objects (A, μ) and (B, ν) is $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}rep}_{A, B^{op}}$, and the composition is given by Connes' fusion [2, Proposition II.5]. Straightforward but tedious verifications show that the unitaries V_{H^ρ, K^σ} yield a functorial embedding of this bicategory into $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}bimod}$.

3 The spatial fiber product

In this section, we use the relative tensor product to define a spatial fiber product of C^*-algebras. This fiber product is an analogue of the fiber product of von Neumann algebras [17] but lacks several desirable properties like associativity. Nevertheless, it is functorial with respect to a natural class of morphisms and suits our applications in [21, 23, 24].

3.1 C^*-algebras and W^*-algebras represented on modules

We consider C^*- and W^*-algebras which are represented on modules of the type introduced in Section 2. Again, we write C^*- and W^*-bases as in (6).

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a C^*-base. A* (nondegenerate) C^*-$\mathfrak{a}$-algebra *is a pair $A^\alpha_H = (H_\alpha, A)$, where H_α is a C^*-$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$-module, A_H a (nondegenerate) concrete C^*-algebra, and $\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger)A \subseteq A$. We denote by $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}^{(\mathrm{nd})}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the category of all (nondegenerate) C^*-$\mathfrak{a}$-algebras, where the morphisms between objects A^α_H, B^β_K are all $*$-homomorphisms $\pi \colon A \to B$ satisfying $\beta = [\mathcal{L}^\pi(H_\alpha, K_\beta)\alpha]$, where $\mathcal{L}^\pi(H_\alpha, K_\beta) := \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\beta) \cap \mathcal{L}^\pi(H, K)$ (see (1)). Given a W^*-base $\mathfrak{a}$, we similarly define the category $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}alg}^{(\mathrm{nd})}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of all* (nondegenerate) W^*-$\mathfrak{a}$-algebras, *where the morphisms are assumed to be normal.*
Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a W^*-algebra. A von Neumann-$\mathfrak{A}$-algebra *is a pair $A^\rho_H = (A_H, \rho)$, where A_H is a von Neumann algebra and $\rho \colon \mathfrak{A} \to A$ a unital normal $*$-homomorphism. The class of all von Neumann-$\mathfrak{A}$-algebras forms a category $\mathbf{vN\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{A}}$, where the morphisms between objects (A_H, ρ), (B_K, σ) are all $\pi \in \mathbf{vN}(A_H, B_K)$ satisfying $\pi \circ \rho = \sigma$.*

Remark 3.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a reduced W^*-base and A^α_H a nondegenerate W^*-$\mathfrak{a}$-algebra. Then $A' \subseteq \rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}')' = \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha)$ by Proposition 2.7.*

In our applications to quantum groupoids [21], C^*-$\mathfrak{a}$-algebras arise as follows:

Example 3.3. *Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be the C^*-base associated to a KMS-state μ on a unital C^*-algebra B (Example 2.9 iv)), let A be a unital C^*-algebra such that $1_A \in$*

$B \subseteq A$, and let $\phi \colon A \to B$ be a conditional expectation such that $\nu := \mu \circ \phi$ is a KMS-state and $\sigma_t^{\nu}|_B = \sigma_t^{\mu}$, $\phi \circ \sigma_t^{\nu} = \sigma_t^{\mu} \circ \phi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\zeta_\phi \colon H_\mu \to H_\nu$ the isometry given by $\Lambda_\mu(b) \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(b)$ and put $H := H_\nu$, $\alpha := [\pi_\nu(A)\zeta_\phi]$, $\beta := [\pi_\nu^{op}(A^{op})\zeta_\phi]$. Then ${}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}$ is a C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}^\dagger)$-module, $\rho_\alpha \circ \pi_{\mu^{op}} = \pi_\nu^{op}$, $\rho_\beta \circ \pi_\mu = \pi_\nu$, and $\pi_\nu(A) + \pi_\nu^{op}((A \cap B')^{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha)$, $\pi_{\nu^{op}}(A^{op}) + \pi_\nu(A \cap B') \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_\beta)$ [21, Lemma 3.7]. Moreover, $\pi_\nu(A)_H^\beta$ is a nondegenerate C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra because $\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B})\pi_\nu(A) = \pi_\nu(B)\pi_\nu(A) \subseteq \pi_\nu(A)$, and similarly, $(\pi_\nu^{op}(A^{op}))_H^\alpha$ is a nondegenerate C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra.

Example 3.4. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a C^*-base and A_H^α a C^*-$\mathfrak{a}$-algebra. If we identify $M(A)$ with a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}([AH])$ in the canonical way, $M(A)_H^\alpha$ becomes a C^*-$\mathfrak{a}$-algebra.*

Let us collect some easy properties of morphisms:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a C^*-/W^*-base, π a morphism of C^*-/W^*-$\mathfrak{a}$-algebras A_H^α and B_K^β, and $\gamma = \mathcal{L}^\pi(H_\alpha, K_\beta)$. Then:*

i) $[\gamma H] = K$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{C^*\text{-}/W^*\text{-}mod}(\mathcal{L}(H_\alpha) \cap A', \mathcal{L}(K_\beta) \cap \pi(A)')$.

ii) $\pi = \rho_\gamma|_A$ is normal and $\pi(\rho_\alpha(x)a) = \rho_\beta(x)\pi(a)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}^\dagger$, $a \in A$.

iii) If A_H is nondegenerate, then $\pi(A)_K$ is nondegenerate.

iv) If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a reduced W^*-base and A_H, B_K are nondegenerate W^*-algebras, then $\mathcal{L}^\pi(H_\alpha, K_\beta) = \mathcal{L}^\pi(H, K)$ and the maps $\pi \mapsto \mathcal{L}^\pi(H, K)$ and $\rho_\gamma \mapsfrom \gamma$ are inverse bijections $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{a}}(A_H^\alpha, B_K^\beta) \leftrightarrows \{\gamma \in \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}(A'_H, C'_K) \mid \llbracket\gamma\alpha\rrbracket = \beta,\ C \subseteq B$ a von-Neumann algebra$\}$.

Proof. We only prove iv), the other statements follow immediately from the definitions. Assume that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a reduced W^*-base and A_H, B_K are nondegenerate W^*-algebras. By Proposition 2.3, $\rho_\beta|_{\mathfrak{A}^\dagger} = \rho_{\llbracket\gamma\alpha\rrbracket}|_{\mathfrak{A}^\dagger} = \rho_\gamma \circ \rho_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{A}^\dagger} = \pi \circ \rho_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{A}^\dagger}$, so $\mathcal{L}^\pi(H, K) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H^{\rho_\alpha}, K^{\rho_\beta}) = \mathcal{L}(H_\alpha, K_\beta)$. Let $C \subseteq B$ be a von-Neumann algebra and $\delta \in \mathbf{W^*\text{-}mod}(A'_H, C'_K)$ satisfy $\llbracket\delta\alpha\rrbracket = \beta$, and let $\pi := \rho_\delta \colon A'' \to C'' \subseteq B''$. Then by Proposition 2.3 again, $\pi \circ \rho_\alpha = \rho_\beta$ and therefore $\mathcal{L}^\pi(H_\alpha, K_\beta) = \mathcal{L}^\pi(H, K) = \delta$. The claim follows. ☐

A combination of this result with Proposition 2.7 shows:

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a C^*-base.*

i) There exist functors $\llbracket\,\cdot\,\rrbracket \colon \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(\mathrm{nd})} \to \mathbf{W^*\text{-}alg}_{\llbracket\mathfrak{a}\rrbracket}^{(\mathrm{nd})}$ given by $A_H^\alpha \mapsto \llbracket A\rrbracket_H^{\llbracket\alpha\rrbracket}$ and $\pi \mapsto \tilde{\pi}$, where $\tilde{\pi}$ denotes the normal extension.

ii) If $\mathfrak{a}$ is reduced, then there exist isomorphisms $\mathbf{vN\text{-}alg}_{\llbracket\mathfrak{A}\rrbracket} \leftrightarrows \mathbf{W^*\text{-}alg}_{\llbracket\mathfrak{a}\rrbracket}^{\mathrm{nd}}$ given by $(\mathcal{H}, A) \mapsto (\mathbf{rm}\mathcal{H}, A)$, $\pi \mapsto \pi$ and $(\mathcal{H}, A) \mapsto (\mathbf{mr}\mathcal{H}, A)$, $\pi \mapsto \pi$.

Definition 3.7. *Let* $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ *be* C^**-bases. A* (nondegenerate) C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$-algebra *is a pair* $A_H^{\alpha,\beta} = ({}_\alpha H_\beta, A)$*, where* ${}_\alpha H_\beta$ *is a* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$*-module,* A_H^α *a (nondegenerate)* C^**-*$\mathfrak{a}$*-algebra, and* A_H^β *a* C^**-*$\mathfrak{b}$*-algebra. We denote by* $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}^{(\mathrm{nd})}$ *the category of all (nondegenerate)* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$*-algebras, where* $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}^{(\mathrm{nd})}(A_H^{\alpha,\beta}, B_K^{\gamma,\delta}) = \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(\mathrm{nd})}(A_H^{\alpha}, B_K^{\gamma}) \cap \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{(\mathrm{nd})}(A_H^{\beta}, B_K^{\delta})$ *for all* $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$*,* $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$*. Given* W^**-bases* $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$*, we similarly define the category* $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}^{(\mathrm{nd})}$ *of all* (nondegenerate) W^*-$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$-algebras*.*
Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ *be* W^**-algebras. A* von Neumann-$(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$-algebra *is a pair* $A_H^{\rho,\sigma} = ({}^\rho H^\sigma, A)$*, where* ${}^\rho H^\sigma$ *is a* W^**-*$(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$*-representation,* A_H *a von Neumann algebra, and* $\rho(\mathfrak{A}), \sigma(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq A$*. The class of all von Neumann-*$(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$*-algebras forms a category* $\mathbf{vN\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B}}$*, where* $\mathbf{vN\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B}}(A_H^{\rho,\sigma}, B_K^{\phi,\psi}) = \mathbf{vN\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A_H^{\rho}, B_K^{\phi}) \cap \mathbf{vN\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}}(A_H^{\sigma}, B_K^{\psi})$ *for all* $A_H^{\rho,\sigma}$*,* $B_K^{\phi,\psi}$*.*

Of course, we have an analogue of Proposition 3.6 for the categories introduced above. For later use, we note the following direct sum and direct product construction.

Example 3.8. *Let* $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ *be* C^**-bases and* $(\mathcal{A}_i)_i$ *a family of* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$*-algebras, where* $\mathcal{A}_i = ((H_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i), A_i)$ *for each* i*. Then the* c_0*-sum of* C^**-algebras* $\bigoplus_i A_i$ *and the* l^∞*-product of* C^**-algebras* $\prod_i A_i$ *are naturally represented on the Hilbert space* $\bigoplus_i H_i$*, and the pairs* $\boxplus_i \mathcal{A}_i := \big(\boxplus_i (H_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i), \bigoplus_i A_i\big)$ *and* $\prod_i \mathcal{A}_i := \big(\boxplus_i (H_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i), \prod_i A_i\big)$ *are* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$*-algebras, called the* direct sum *and the* direct product *of the family* $(\mathcal{A}_i)_i$*, respectively. One easily verifies that for each* j*, the canonical maps* $A_j \to \bigoplus_i A_i \to \prod_i A_i \to A_j$ *are morphisms of* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$*-algebras* $\mathcal{A}_j \to \boxplus_i \mathcal{A}_i \to \prod_i \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{A}_j$*, and that* $\boxplus_i \mathcal{A}_i$ *and* $\prod_i \mathcal{A}_i$ *are nondegenerate if all of the* $(\mathcal{A}_i)_i$ *are nondegenerate.*
Similarly, one defines the direct sum in the setting of W^**-algebras.*

3.2 The spatial fiber product of W^*-algebras

Before we introduce a spatial fiber product for C^*-algebras, we reformulate the well-known fiber product construction for von Neumann algebras [17] in the language of W^*-algebras and W^*-modules. Throughout this subsection, let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$ be W^*-bases as in (6). We start with the following lemma:

Lemma 3.9. *Let* E_H^K *be a concrete nondegenerate* W^**-module and* A_H *a concrete* W^**-algebra such that* $E^*EA \subseteq A$*.*

- *i) For each* $T \in \mathcal{L}(K)$*, the following conditions are equivalent: (a)* $E^*TE \subseteq A$*; (b)* $T \in [\![EAE^*]\!]$*; (c)* $TE + T^*E \subseteq [\![EA]\!]$*. If* A_H *is nondegenerate, these conditions are equivalent to (d)* $T \in \rho_E(A')'$*.*
- *ii) The set of all* $T \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ *satisfying conditions (a)–(c) is a* W^**-algebra.*

Proof. i) Using the relation $\mathrm{id}_K \in [\![EE^*]\!]$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} E^*TE \subseteq A &\Rightarrow T \in [\![EE^*TEE^*]\!] \subseteq [\![EAE^*]\!] \\ &\Rightarrow TE + T^*E \subseteq [\![EAE^*E]\!] \subseteq [\![EA]\!] \Rightarrow E^*TE \subseteq [\![E^*EA]\!] \subseteq A. \end{aligned}$$

If $A = A''$, then the relations $\xi^*T\xi'b = \xi^*T\rho_E(b)\xi'$ and $b\xi^*T\xi' = \xi^*\rho_E(b)T\xi'$, valid for all $\xi, \xi' \in E, b \in A'$, imply the equivalence of (a) and (d).
ii) Obvious. $\square$

Proposition 3.10. *Let A_H^{β} be a W^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra and B_K^{γ} a W^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra.*

i) The following subspaces of $\mathcal{L}(H_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma}K)$ coincide and are a W^*-algebra:

(a) $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma}K) \mid \langle\beta|_1T|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq B,\ \langle\gamma|_2T|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq A\}$;

(b) $[\![|\gamma\rangle_2A\langle\gamma|_2]\!] \cap [\![|\beta\rangle_1B\langle\beta|_1]\!]$;

(c) $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma}K) \mid T|\beta\rangle_1 + T^*|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq [\![|\beta\rangle_1B]\!], T|\gamma\rangle_2 + T^*|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq [\![|\gamma\rangle_2A]\!]\}$.

We denote the W^*-algebra in (a)–(c) by $A_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B$.

ii) If A_H, B_K are nondegenerate, then $A' \subseteq \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)'$, $B' \subseteq \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})'$, and $A_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B = \rho_{|\gamma\rangle_2}(A')' \cap \rho_{|\beta\rangle_1}(B')' = (A'\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{\otimes}}\mathrm{id})' \cap (\mathrm{id}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{\otimes}}B')'$.

iii) If $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$ is a W^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$-algebra and $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$ a W^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebra, then $({}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma}K_{\delta}, A_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B)$ is a W^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebra.

Proof. Assertions i) and ii) follow immediately from Lemma 3.9, and assertion iii) follows easily from equation (9). $\square$

Definition 3.11. *We denote the W^*-algebra in 3.10 i)–iii) by $A_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B$ and call it the* W^*-fiber product *of A_H^{β} and B_K^{γ}. If $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$ is a W^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$-algebra and $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$ a W^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebra, then we call the W^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebra $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}\bar{*}B_K^{\gamma,\delta} := ({}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma}K_{\delta}, A_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B)$ the* W^*-fiber product *of $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$.*

To prove that the W^*-fiber product is functorial, we use the following lemma:

Lemma 3.12. *Let ϕ be a morphism of W^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebras A_H^{β}, C_L^{λ} and let B_K^{γ} be a W^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra. Then $I := \mathcal{L}^{\phi}(H, L)\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{\otimes}}\mathrm{id}$ is a concrete W^*-module, $\rho_I(A_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B) \subseteq C_{\lambda}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B$, and $\langle\eta'|_2\rho_I(x)|\eta\rangle_2 = \phi(\langle\eta'|_2x|\eta\rangle_2)$ for all $x \in A_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B$ and $\eta, \eta' \in \gamma$.*

Proof. The first assertion is evident. Let $x \in A_{\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B$. Then

$$\rho_I(x)|\lambda\rangle_1 = \rho_I(x)[\![I|\beta\rangle_1]\!] \subseteq [\![Ix|\beta\rangle_1]\!] \subseteq [\![I|\beta\rangle_1B]\!] \subseteq [\![|\lambda\rangle_1B]\!]$$

and $\langle\eta'|_2\rho_I(x)|\eta\rangle_2 = \phi(\langle\eta'|_2x|\eta\rangle_2)$ for all $\eta, \eta' \in \gamma$ because

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\eta'|_2\rho_I(x)|\eta\rangle_2S &= \langle\eta'|_2\rho_I(x)(S\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}\mathrm{id})|\eta\rangle_2\\ &= \langle\eta'|_2(S\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}\mathrm{id})x|\eta\rangle_2 = S\langle\eta'|_2x|\eta\rangle_2 = \phi(\langle\eta'|_2x|\eta\rangle_2)S\end{aligned}$$

for all $S \in \mathcal{L}^{\phi}(H, L)$. By Lemma 3.9, we can conclude $\rho_I(x) \in C_{\lambda}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_{\gamma}B$. $\square$

Proposition 3.13. *Let ϕ be a morphism of W^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger,\mathfrak{b})$-algebras $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$, $C_L^{\kappa,\lambda}$ and ψ a morphism of W^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger,\mathfrak{c})$-algebras $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$, $D_M^{\mu,\nu}$. Then there exists a unique morphism $\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} \psi$ of W^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger,\mathfrak{c})$-algebras $A_H^{\alpha,\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$ and $C_L^{\kappa,\lambda} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} D_M^{\mu,\nu}$ such that*

$$((\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} \psi)(x))R = Rx \quad \textit{for all } x \in A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_\gamma B \textit{ and } R \in I_M J_H + J_L I_K, \tag{10}$$

where $I_X = \mathcal{L}^\phi(H,L) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{\otimes}} \mathrm{id}_X$, $J_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{\otimes}} \mathcal{L}^\psi(K,M)$ for $X \in \{K,M\}, Y \in \{H,L\}$.

Proof. The space $E := \mathcal{L}^\phi(H_\beta, L_\lambda) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{\otimes}} \mathcal{L}^\psi(K_\gamma, M_\mu)$ is a concrete W^*-module, $E \subseteq [\![I_M J_H]\!] \cap [\![J_L I_K]\!]$, and $A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_\gamma B \subseteq (E^*E)'$. Therefore, every morphism $\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} \psi$ satisfying (10) is equal to the restriction of ρ_E. Conversely, we may choose $\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} \psi$ to be this restriction. Indeed, Proposition 2.3 shows that then $\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} \psi$ is equal to the compositions

$$A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_\gamma B \xrightarrow{\rho_{I_K}} C_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_\gamma B \xrightarrow{\rho_{J_L}} C_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_\mu D, \qquad A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_\gamma B \xrightarrow{\rho_{J_H}} A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_\mu D \xrightarrow{\rho_{I_M}} C_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}}{}_\mu D,$$

whence (10) holds, $[\mathcal{L}^{\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} \psi}\big((H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_\gamma K)_{\alpha \triangleleft \gamma}, (L_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_\mu M)_{\kappa \triangleleft \mu}\big)(\alpha \triangleleft \gamma)]$ contains

$$\begin{aligned} [\![(\mathcal{L}^\phi(H_\alpha, L_\kappa) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\psi(K_\gamma, M_\mu))(\alpha \triangleleft \gamma)]\!] &= [\![|\mathcal{L}^\psi(K_\gamma, M_\mu)\gamma\rangle_2 \mathcal{L}^\phi(H_\alpha, L_\kappa)\alpha]\!] \\ &= [\![|\mu\rangle_2 \kappa]\!] = \kappa \triangleleft \mu, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $[\mathcal{L}^{\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} \psi}\big((H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_\gamma K)_{\beta \triangleright \delta}, (L_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} {}_\mu M)_{\lambda \triangleright \nu}\big)(\beta \triangleright \delta)] = \lambda \triangleright \nu$. ☐

Theorem 3.14. *There exists a bicategory $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}alg}^{(\mathrm{nd})}$ such that*

- *the objects are all W^*-bases and $\mathbf{W^*\text{-}alg}^{(\mathrm{nd})}(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}) = \mathbf{W^*\text{-}alg}^{(\mathrm{nd})}_{\mathfrak{a}^\dagger,\mathfrak{b}}$ for all W^*-bases $\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}$;*
- *$c_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}$ is given by $(B_K^{\gamma,\delta}, A_H^{\alpha,\beta}) \mapsto A_H^{\alpha,\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$ and $(\psi,\phi) \mapsto \phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\bar{*}} \psi$, and the identity $1_\mathfrak{b}$ is $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})_{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger,\mathfrak{B}}$;*
- *the isomorphisms $a_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}(C_L^{\epsilon,\phi}, B_K^{\gamma,\delta}, A_H^{\alpha,\beta})$, $r_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}(A_H^{\alpha,\beta})$, $l_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}(B_K^{\gamma,\delta})$ are given by conjugation by $a_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}({}_\epsilon L_\phi, {}_\gamma K_\delta, {}_\alpha H_\beta)$, $r_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}({}_\alpha H_\beta)$, $l_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}({}_\gamma K_\delta)$, respectively, for all $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$, $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$, $C_L^{\epsilon,\phi}$ and all W^*-bases $\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}$.*

Proof. Tedious but straightforward. ☐

3.3 A spatial fiber product of C^*-algebras

To define a fiber product of C^*-algebras, we start from the characterization of the fiber product of W^*-algebras given in Proposition 3.10 and formulate a C^*-algebraic analogue of Lemma 3.9. Let E^K_H be a concrete nondegenerate C^*-module and A_H a concrete C^*-algebra satisfying $E^*EA \subseteq A$. Put

$$\mathrm{Ind}_E(A) := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(K) \mid TE + T^*E \subseteq [EA]\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(K).$$

Definition 3.15. *Let E^K_H be a nondegenerate concrete C^*-module. The* E-strong-$*$, E-strong, and E-weak topology *on $\mathcal{L}(K)$ are the topologies induced by the families of seminorms $T \mapsto \|T\xi\| + \|T^*\xi\|$ ($\xi \in E$), $T \mapsto \|T\xi\|$ ($\xi \in E$), and $T \mapsto \|\xi^*T\xi'\|$ ($\xi, \xi' \in E$), respectively. Given a subset $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}(K)$, denote by $[X]_E$ the closure of* $\operatorname{span} X$ *with respect to the E-strong-$*$ topology.*

Remarks 3.16. *i) If H is a Hilbert space, then $|H\rangle^H_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a nondegenerate concrete C^*-module, and the associated topologies on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ introduced above coincide with the strong-$*$, the strong and the weak operator topology, respectively.*

ii) Evidently, the multiplication in $\mathcal{L}(K)$ is separately continuous with respect to the topologies introduced above, and the involution $T \mapsto T^*$ is continuous with respect to the E-strong-$*$ and the E-weak topology.

Proposition 3.17. *Let E^K_H be a concrete nondegenerate C^*-module, A_H a concrete C^*-algebra satisfying $E^*EA \subseteq A$. Then* $\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)$ *is a C^*-algebra and*

$$[E^* \,\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)E] \subseteq A, \quad \mathrm{Ind}_E(A) = [EAE^*]_E, \quad \mathrm{Ind}_E(M(A)) \subseteq M(\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)).$$

$\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)_K$ *is nondegenerate if and only if A_H is nondegenerate; in that case,* $\mathrm{Ind}_E(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(K_{[EA]})$.

Proof. The proof of the first two assertions is straightforward.
We have $[EAE^*]_E \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_E(A)$ because $[EAE^*]_E E \subseteq [EAE^*E] \subseteq [EA]$. To see that $[EAE^*]_E \supseteq \mathrm{Ind}_E(A)$, choose a bounded approximate unit $(u_\nu)_\nu$ for the C^*-algebra $[EE^*]$ and observe that for each $T \in \mathrm{Ind}_E(A)$, the net $(u_\nu T u_\nu)_\nu$ lies in $[EE^* \,\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)EE^*] \subseteq [EAE^*]$ and converges to T in the E-strong-$*$ topology.
If $S \in \mathrm{Ind}_E(M(A))$, $T \in \mathrm{Ind}_E(A)$, then $ST \in \mathrm{Ind}_E(A)$ because $STE \subseteq [SEA] \subseteq [EM(A)A] = [EA]$ and $T^*S^*E \subseteq [TEM(A)] \subseteq [EAM(A)] = [EA]$.
If $\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)_K$ is nondegenerate, then $[AH] \supseteq [E^* \,\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)EH] = [E^* \,\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)K] = [E^*K] = H$. Conversely, if A_H is nondegenerate, then $[EAE^*]_K$ and hence also $\mathrm{Ind}_E(A)_K$ is nondegenerate. □

Throughout this subsection, let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$ be C^*-bases as in (6). Moreover, let A^β_H be a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra and B^γ_K a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra. We apply the induction procedure to A, B and $|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H, H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_\gamma K)$, $|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}(K, H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_\gamma K)$ (see Subsection 2.4), respectively, and let

$$A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma B := \mathrm{Ind}_{|\gamma\rangle_2}(A) \cap \mathrm{Ind}_{|\beta\rangle_1}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_\gamma K). \tag{11}$$

Thus, $A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma B$ consists of all $T \in \mathcal{L}(H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_\gamma K)$ satisfying $T|\gamma\rangle_2 + T^*|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 A]$ and $T|\beta\rangle_1 + T^*|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq [|\beta\rangle_1 B]$, and we have the following commutative in the category where the objects are Hilbert spaces, morphisms are concrete C^*-modules, and composition is defined as in **$\mathbf{C^*}$-mod**:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H \arrow[r, "|\gamma\rangle_2"] \arrow[d, "A"'] & H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{\gamma} K \arrow[d, "A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B"] & K \arrow[l, "|\beta\rangle_1"'] \arrow[d, "B"] \\
H \arrow[r, "|\gamma\rangle_2"] & H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{\gamma} K & K \arrow[l, "|\beta\rangle_1"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Put $A^{(\beta)} := A \cap \mathcal{L}(H_\beta)$, $B^{(\gamma)} := B \cap \mathcal{L}(K_\gamma)$, $X := (A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) + (\mathrm{id} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)})$, and

$$M_s(A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)}) := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{\gamma} K) \mid TX, XT \subseteq A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)}\}.$$

Proposition 3.18. *Let A^{β}_{H} be a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra and B^{γ}_{K} a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra.*

i) $\langle\beta|_1(A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B)|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq B$ and $\langle\gamma|_2(A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B)|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq A$.

ii) $A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)} \subseteq A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B$ and $M(A)_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} M(B) \subseteq M(A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B)$.

iii) If $[A^{(\beta)}\beta] = \beta$ and $[B^{(\gamma)}\gamma] = \gamma$, then $A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B$ is nondegenerate and we have $M_s(A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)}) \subseteq A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B$.

iv) $A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B$ contains $\mathrm{id}_{(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{\gamma} K)}$ if and only if $\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger) \subseteq A$ and $\rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq B$.

v) If $A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B$ is nondegenerate, then the C^*-algebra $[\beta^* A\beta] \cap [\gamma^* B\gamma] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})$ is nondegenerate.

vi) Assume that $A^{\alpha,\beta}_{H}$ is a C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$-algebra and $B^{\gamma,\delta}_{K}$ a C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebra. Then $({}_{\alpha}H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{\gamma} K_{\delta}, A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B)$ is a C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebra.

Proof. i) Immediate from Proposition 3.17.
ii) This follows from the relations $(A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)})|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq [|A^{(\beta)}\beta\rangle_1 B^{(\gamma)}] \subseteq [|\beta\rangle_1 B]$, $(A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)})|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq [|B^{(\gamma)}\gamma\rangle_1 A^{(\beta)}] \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 A]$ and Proposition 3.17.
iii) Assume that $[A^{(\beta)}\beta] = \beta$ and $[B^{(\gamma)}\gamma] = \gamma$. Then $A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)}$ and hence also $A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B$ is nondegenerate. If $T \in M_s(A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)})$, then $T|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq [T(A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})|\beta\rangle_1] \subseteq [(A^{(\beta)} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} B^{(\gamma)})|\beta\rangle_1] \subseteq [|\beta\rangle_1 B]$ and similarly $T^*|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq [|\beta\rangle_1 B]$, $T|\gamma\rangle_2 + T^*|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 A]$.
iv) If $\mathrm{id}_{(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{\gamma} K)} \in A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B$, then $\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger) = [\langle\gamma|_2|\gamma\rangle_2] \subseteq A$, $\rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B}) = [\langle\beta|_1|\beta\rangle_1] \subseteq B$ by i). Conversely, if the last two inclusions hold, then $|\gamma\rangle_2 = [|\gamma\mathfrak{B}^\dagger\rangle_2] = [|\gamma\rangle_2 \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)] \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 A]$ and similarly $|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq [|\beta\rangle_1 B]$, whence $\mathrm{id}_{(H_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{\gamma} K)} \in A_{\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_{\gamma} B$.

v) The C^*-algebra $C := [\beta^* A \beta] \cap [\gamma^* B \gamma]$ contains $\beta^*\langle\gamma|_2(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\gamma\rangle_2\beta = \gamma^*\langle\beta|_1(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\beta\rangle_1\gamma$. If $A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B$ is nondegenerate, we therefore have $[C\mathfrak{K}] \supseteq [\beta^*\langle\gamma|_2(A_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)(H_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K)] = \mathfrak{K}$.

vi) The product $X := \rho_{(\alpha\lhd\gamma)}(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger)(A_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)$ is contained in $A_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B$ because

$$X|\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq [|\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger)\beta\rangle_1 B] = [|\beta\rangle_1 B], \quad X^*|\beta\rangle_1 = (A_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger)\beta\rangle_1 \subseteq [|\beta\rangle_1 B],$$

$$X|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger)A] \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 A], \quad X^*|\gamma\rangle_2 = (A_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\gamma\rangle_2\rho_\alpha(\mathfrak{A}^\dagger) \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 A]$$

by equation (9). A similar argument shows that $\rho_{(\beta\rhd\delta)}(\mathfrak{C})(A_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B) \subseteq A_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B$. ☐

Definition 3.19. *The* fiber product *of a C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger,\mathfrak{b})$-algebra $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$ and a C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger,\mathfrak{c})$-algebra $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$ is the C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger,\mathfrak{c})$-algebra $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}B_K^{\gamma,\delta} := ({}_\alpha H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K_\delta, A_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)$.*

Even in special situations, it seems to be difficult to describe the fiber product more precisely.

Example 3.20. *Let A_H and B_K be nondegenerate concrete C^*-algebras. Then $(A_H, |H\rangle)$ and $(B_K,|K\rangle)$ are a C^*-$\mathfrak{t}$- and a C^*-$\mathfrak{t}^\dagger$-algebra (see Example 2.12 ii), and $A^{(|H\rangle)} = A$, $B^{(|K\rangle)} = B$. Moreover, $H_{|H\rangle}\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{\otimes}{}_{|K\rangle}K \cong H\otimes K$ (Example 2.13), the minimal tensor product $A\otimes B$ can be identified with $A\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{\otimes}B$, and by Proposition 3.18 ii), $A\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}B$ contains $M_s(A\otimes B) = \{T\in M(A\otimes B) \mid T(1\otimes B),(1\otimes B)T, T(A\otimes 1),(A\otimes 1)T \subseteq A\otimes B\}$. If A or B is non-unital, then $\mathrm{id}_{H\otimes K}\notin A\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}B$ by Proposition 3.18 iv), and hence $M(A\otimes B)\not\subseteq A\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}B$. In Subsection 3.6, we give an example where $A\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}B\not\subseteq M(A\otimes B)$.*

Example 3.21. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and identify $H_{|H\rangle}\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{\otimes}{}_{|H\rangle}H$ with $H\otimes H$ as in Example2.13. We show that the flip $\Sigma\colon H\otimes H\to H\otimes H$, $\xi\otimes\eta\mapsto\eta\otimes\xi$, is not contained in $\mathcal{L}(H)_{|H\rangle}\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}{}_{|H\rangle}\mathcal{L}(H)$. Indeed, let $(\xi_\nu)_\nu$ be an orthonormal basis for H and let $\eta\in H$ be non-zero. Then $\langle\xi_\nu|_1\Sigma|\eta\rangle_1 = |\eta\rangle\langle\xi_\nu|$ and hence $\sum_\nu\|\langle\xi_\nu|_1\Sigma|\eta\rangle_1\|^2 = \infty$. On the other hand, one easily verifies that $\sum_\nu\|\langle\xi_\nu|_1 S\|^2<\infty$ for each $S\in[|H\rangle_1\mathcal{L}(H)]$, and hence $\Sigma|\eta\rangle\notin[|H\rangle_1\mathcal{L}(H)]$.*

Further concrete examples will be given in Example 3.26 and Subsection 3.6.

3.4 Categorical properties of the spatial fiber product of C^*-algebras

We shall see that the fiber product of C^*-algebras introduced above is functorial, nonassociative, unital only in a restricted sense, contained in the fiber product of the associated W^*-algebras, and distributive with respect to finite direct sums. To prove functoriality, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.22. *Let ϕ be a morphism of C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebras A_H^β and C_L^λ, and let B_K^γ be a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra. Then $I := \mathcal{L}^\phi(H_\beta, L_\lambda) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}$ is a concrete C^*-module, $\rho_I(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B) \subseteq C_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B$, there exists a bounded linear map $j_\phi \colon [|\gamma\rangle_2 A] \to [|\gamma\rangle_2 C]$ given by $|\eta\rangle_2 a \mapsto |\eta\rangle_2 \phi(a)$, and $\rho_I(x)|\eta\rangle_2 = j_\phi(x|\eta\rangle_2)$ for all $x \in A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B$, $\eta \in \gamma$.*

Proof. The first assertion is evident. The existence of j_ϕ follows from the fact that for all $\eta, \eta' \in \gamma$, $a, a' \in A$, $(|\eta\rangle_2\phi(a))^*(|\eta'\rangle_2\phi(a')) = \phi(a)^*\rho_\lambda(\eta^*\eta')\phi(a') = \phi\big((|\eta\rangle_2 a)^*(|\eta'\rangle_2 a')\big)$. For all $x \in A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B$, $\eta \in \gamma$, $\rho_I(x)|\eta\rangle_2 = j_\phi(x|\eta\rangle_2) \in [|\gamma\rangle_2 C]$ because $\rho_I(x)|\eta\rangle_2 S = \rho_I(x)(S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})|\eta\rangle_2 = (S \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})x|\eta\rangle_2 = j_\phi(x|\eta\rangle_2)$ for all $S \in \mathcal{L}^\phi(H_\beta, L_\lambda)$. Therefore, $\rho_I(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 C]$. Finally, $\rho_I(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\lambda\rangle_1 = \rho_I(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)[I|\beta\rangle_1] \subseteq [I(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\beta\rangle_1] \subseteq [I|\beta\rangle_1 B] = [|\lambda\rangle_1 B]$. ☐

Theorem 3.23 (Functoriality)**.** *Let ϕ be a morphism of C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$-algebras $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $C_L^{\kappa,\lambda}$, and let ψ be a morphism of C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebras $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$ and $D_M^{\mu,\nu}$. Then there exists a unique morphism $\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi$ of C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebras $A_H^{\alpha,\beta} * B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$ and $C_L^{\kappa,\lambda} * D_M^{\mu,\nu}$ such that*

$$((\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi)(x))R = Rx \quad \text{for all } x \in A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B \text{ and } R \in I_M J_H + J_L I_K, \tag{12}$$

where $I_X = \mathcal{L}^\phi(H, L) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}_X$, $J_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}^\psi(K, M)$ for $X \in \{K, M\}, Y \in \{H, L\}$.

Proof. Completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.13. ☐

The fiber product is not functorial with respect to nondegenerate morphisms into multiplier algebras:

Remark 3.24. *Let A_H^β, C_L^λ be C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebras, let B_K^γ, D_M^μ be C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebras, and let ϕ and ψ be morphisms of A_H^β, $M(C)_L^\lambda$ and B_K^γ, $M(D)_M^\mu$, respectively. Then there exists a $*$-homomorphism $\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi \colon A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B \to M(C)_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\mu M(D) \hookrightarrow M(C_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\mu D)$. However, we do not know whether the relations $[\phi(A)C] = C$ and $[\psi(B)D] = D$ imply $[((\phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi)(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B))(C_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\mu D)] = C_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\mu D$.*

The fiber products of C^*-algebras and W^*-algebras are related as follows:

Theorem 3.25. *i) $\llbracket A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\llbracket\beta\rrbracket} \underset{\llbracket\mathfrak{b}\rrbracket}{\bar{*}}{}_{\llbracket\gamma\rrbracket} \llbracket B \rrbracket$ for each C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra A_H^β and C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra B_K^γ.*

ii) $\llbracket \phi \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket\phi\rrbracket \underset{\llbracket\mathfrak{b}\rrbracket}{\bar{*}} \llbracket\psi\rrbracket\big|_{\llbracket A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B \rrbracket}$ whenever ϕ is a morphism of C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$-algebras $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$, $C_L^{\kappa,\lambda}$ and ψ is a morphism of C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebras $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$, $D_M^{\mu,\nu}$.

Proof. i) $\langle [\![\beta]\!]|_1 [\![A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B]\!] |[\![\beta]\!]\rangle_1 \subseteq [\![\langle\beta|_1(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\beta\rangle_1]\!] \subseteq [\![B]\!]$ and similarly $\langle [\![\gamma]\!]|_2 [\![A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B]\!] |[\![\gamma]\!]\rangle_2 \subseteq [\![A]\!]$ by Proposition 3.18 i). Now, i) follows from Proposition 3.10.
ii) Since the multiplication is separately σ-weakly continuous, $\mathcal{L}^\phi(H_\beta, L_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{[\![\phi]\!]}(H_{[\![\beta]\!]}, L_{[\![\lambda]\!]})$ and $\mathcal{L}^\psi(K_\gamma, M_\mu) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{[\![\psi]\!]}(K_{[\![\gamma]\!]}, M_{[\![\mu]\!]})$. Now, ii) follows from equations (10) and (12). $\square$

Unfortunately, the fiber product of C^*-algebras is not associative. More precisely, let $A^{\alpha,\beta}_H$ be a C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$-algebra, $B^{\gamma,\delta}_K$ a C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{c})$-algebra, and $C^{\epsilon,\phi}_L$ a C^*-$(\mathfrak{c}^\dagger, \mathfrak{d})$-algebra. Then we can form the fiber products $(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)_{\beta\triangleright\delta} \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{*}{}_\epsilon C$ and $A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_{\gamma\triangleleft\epsilon}(B_\delta \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{*}{}_\epsilon C)$. The following example shows that these C^*-algebras need *not* be identified by the canonical isomorphism $a_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}({}_\epsilon L_\phi, {}_\gamma K_\delta, {}_\alpha H_\beta)$ of Proposition 2.17. However, identifying the underlying Hilbert spaces via this isomorphism, we can define a "minimal" C^*-fiber product $A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B_\delta \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{*}{}_\epsilon C := \big((A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)_{\beta\triangleright\delta} \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{*}{}_\epsilon C\big) \cap \big(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_{\gamma\triangleleft\epsilon}(B_\delta \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{*}{}_\epsilon C)\big)$, which is functorial in each component.

Example 3.26. *Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{t}$ (see Example 2.9 i)) and $A^{\alpha,\beta}_H = B^{\gamma,\delta}_K = C^{\epsilon,\phi}_L = \mathcal{L}(H)^{|H\rangle,|H\rangle}_H$, where H is a separable Hilbert space. Then we can identify $H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K_\delta \underset{\mathfrak{c}}{\otimes}{}_\epsilon L \cong \beta \ogreaterthan_{\rho_\gamma} K_{\rho_\delta} \olessthan \epsilon = |H\rangle \otimes H \otimes |H\rangle \cong H^{\otimes 3}$. We construct an element T of $X := (\mathcal{L}(H)_{|H\rangle} \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}{}_{|H\rangle} \mathcal{L}(H))_{|H\rangle\triangleright|H\rangle} \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}{}_{|H\rangle} \mathcal{L}(H)$ that does not belong to $Y := \mathcal{L}(H)_{|H\rangle} \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}{}_{|H\rangle\triangleleft|H\rangle} (\mathcal{L}(H)_{|H\rangle} \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}{}_{|H\rangle} \mathcal{L}(H))$. Choose an orthonormal basis $(\epsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of H and define $T \in \mathcal{L}(H^{\otimes 3})$ by*

$$T(\epsilon_k \otimes \epsilon_l \otimes \epsilon_m) = \begin{cases} \epsilon_k \otimes \epsilon_l \otimes \epsilon_m & \textit{for all } k,l,m \in \mathbb{N} \textit{ s.t. } m \leq k+l, \\ \epsilon_l \otimes \epsilon_k \otimes \epsilon_m & \textit{for all } k,l,m \in \mathbb{N} \textit{ s.t. } m > k+l. \end{cases}$$

For each $\xi \in H$ and $\omega \in H^{\otimes 2}$, define $|\xi\rangle_1, |\xi\rangle_3 \in \mathcal{L}(H^{\otimes 2}, H^{\otimes 3})$ and $|\omega\rangle_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(H, H^{\otimes 3})$ by $\upsilon \mapsto \xi \otimes \upsilon$, $\upsilon \mapsto \upsilon \otimes \xi$, and $\zeta \mapsto \omega \otimes \zeta$, respectively. Then

$$T|\epsilon_k \otimes \epsilon_l\rangle_{12} = |\epsilon_k \otimes \epsilon_l\rangle_{12} P_{l+k} + |\epsilon_l \otimes \epsilon_k\rangle_{12}(\mathrm{id} - P_{l+k}), \;\; P_{l+k} := \sum_{m \leq k+l} |\epsilon_m\rangle\langle\epsilon_m|,$$

whence $T|H^{\otimes 2}\rangle_{12} \in [|H^{\otimes 2}\rangle_{12}\mathcal{L}(H)]$, and

$$T|\epsilon_m\rangle_3 = |\epsilon_m\rangle_3(\mathrm{id} + \Sigma_m), \quad \textit{where } \Sigma_m := \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k+l<m}} |\epsilon_l \otimes \epsilon_k - \epsilon_k \otimes \epsilon_l\rangle\langle\epsilon_k \otimes \epsilon_l|,$$

*whence $T|H\rangle_3 \in [|H\rangle_3(\mathrm{id} + \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H))] \subseteq [|H\rangle_3(\mathcal{L}(H)_{|H\rangle} \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}{}_{|H\rangle} \mathcal{L}(H))]$ by Proposition 3.18 ii), iv). Since $T = T^*$, we can conclude $T \in X$.
However, $T|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \notin [|H\rangle_1\mathcal{L}(H^{\otimes 2})]$ and therefore $T \notin Y$. Indeed, one easily verifies that $\sum_l \|\langle\epsilon_l|_1 S\|^2 < \infty$ for each $S \in [|H\rangle_1\mathcal{L}(H^{\otimes 2})]$ (where $\langle\epsilon_l|_1 = |\epsilon_l\rangle_1^*$), but $T|\epsilon_1\rangle_1(\epsilon_l \otimes \epsilon_{l+2}) = \epsilon_l \otimes \epsilon_1 \otimes \epsilon_{l+2}$ and hence $\|\langle\epsilon_l|_1 T|\epsilon_1\rangle\|^2 = 1$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Let us now investigate whether the fiber product construction relative to a C^*-base $\mathfrak{b}$ admits a categorical unit. Such a unit should be a C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger, \mathfrak{b})$-algebra

$\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger,\mathfrak{B}}$ such that for all C^*-$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger,\mathfrak{b})$-algebras $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$ and all C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger,\mathfrak{c})$-algebras $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$, we have $A_H^{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Ad}_r(A_H^{\alpha,\beta} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger,\mathfrak{B}})$ and $B_K^{\gamma,\delta} = \mathrm{Ad}_l(\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger,\mathfrak{B}} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} B_K^{\gamma,\delta})$, where $r = r_{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}}({}_\alpha H_\beta)$ and $l = l_{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c}}({}_\gamma K_\delta)$ (see Proposition 2.17). The relations $r|\beta\rangle_1 = \beta$, $r|\mathfrak{B}^\dagger\rangle_2 = \rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)$, $l|\gamma\rangle_2 = \gamma$, $l|\mathfrak{B}\rangle_1 = \rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}_r(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{U}) &= \mathrm{Ind}_\beta(\mathfrak{U}) \cap \mathrm{Ind}_{\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)}(A), \\ \mathrm{Ad}_l(\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{B}} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} {}_\gamma B) &= \mathrm{Ind}_{\rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})}(B) \cap \mathrm{Ind}_\gamma(\mathfrak{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We deduce that if $\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are unital, then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)}(A) = A$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})}(B) = B$, and then the C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger,\mathfrak{b})$-algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})_{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger,\mathfrak{B}}$ is a unit for the fiber product on the full subcategories of all $A_H^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $B_K^{\gamma,\delta}$ satisfying $A \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_\beta(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}))$ and $B \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_\gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}))$.

Remarks 3.27. *i) If* $A \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}))$ *and* $B \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_\gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{L}))$*, then* $A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} {}_\gamma B \subseteq \mathrm{Ind}_{(\alpha\triangleleft\gamma)}(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})) \cap \mathrm{Ind}_{(\beta\triangleright\delta)}(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}))$.

ii) $\mathrm{Ind}_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger) = \mathcal{L}(H_\beta)$*, and if* $\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$ *is unital, then* $\mathrm{Ad}_r(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{B}^\dagger) = A \cap \mathcal{L}(H_\beta) = A^{(\beta)}$.

iii) $\mathrm{Ad}_r(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{B}} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} {}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}\mathfrak{B}^\dagger) = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{B}}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger}) = M(\mathfrak{B}) \cap M(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)$.

The fiber product is compatible with finite sums, but neither with infinite c_0-sums nor with infinite l^∞-sums:

Proposition 3.28. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}^i)_i$ *be a finite family of* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{a}^\dagger,\mathfrak{b})$*-algebras and* $(\mathcal{B}^j)_j$ *a finite family of* C^**-*$(\mathfrak{b}^\dagger,\mathfrak{c})$*-algebras. For each* i,j*, denote by* $\iota_{\mathcal{A}}^i \colon \mathcal{A}^i \to \boxplus_{i'}\mathcal{A}^{i'}$, $\iota_{\mathcal{B}}^j \colon \mathcal{B}^j \to \boxplus_{j'}\mathcal{B}^{j'}$ *and* $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^i \colon \boxplus_{i'} \mathcal{A}^{i'} \to \mathcal{A}^i$, $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}^j \colon \boxplus_{j'} \mathcal{B}^{j'} \to \mathcal{B}^j$ *the canonical inclusions and projections, respectively. Then there exist inverse isomorphisms* $\boxplus_{i,j}\mathcal{A}^i \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathcal{B}^j \leftrightarrows (\boxplus_i\mathcal{A}^i) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} (\boxplus_j\mathcal{B}^j)$*, given by* $(x_{i,j})_{i,j} \mapsto \sum_{i,j}(\iota_{\mathcal{A}}^i \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \iota_{\mathcal{B}}^j)(x_{i,j})$ *and* $\big((\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^i \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \pi_{\mathcal{B}}^j)(y)\big)_{i,j} \hookleftarrow y$*, respectively.*

Examples 3.29. *i) For each* $i,j \in \mathbb{N}$*, let* $\mathcal{A}^i$ *and* $\mathcal{B}^j$ *be the* C^**-*$\mathfrak{t}$*-algebra* $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ *and identify* $\bigoplus_{i,j} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}} \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{\otimes} {}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}$ *with* $l^2(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N})$ *in the canonical way. Then* $\bigoplus_{i,j} \mathcal{A}^i \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*} \mathcal{B}^j$ *corresponds to* $C_0(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N})$*, represented on* $l^2(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N})$ *by multiplication operators. We shall see in Example 3.36 ii) that* $(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{A}^i) \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*} (\bigoplus_j \mathcal{B}^j) \cong C_0(\mathbb{N}) \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*} C_0(\mathbb{N})$ *is strictly larger and contains, for example, the characteristic function of the diagonal* $\{(x,x) \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

ii) Let $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}^j$ *be the* C^**-*$\mathfrak{t}$*-algebra* $\mathcal{K}(H)_H^{|H\rangle}$ *for all* j*, where* $H = l^2(\mathbb{N})$*, and identify* $H_{|H\rangle} \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{\otimes} {}_{|H\rangle}H$ *with* $H \otimes H$ *as in Example 2.13. Choose an orthonormal basis* $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of* H *and put* $y_j := |e_j\otimes e_0\rangle\langle e_0\otimes e_0| \in \mathcal{K}(H\otimes H)$ *for each* $j \in \mathbb{N}$*. Then* $y := (y_j)_j \in \prod_j \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*} \mathcal{B}^j$ *because* $y_j \in \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \subset$

$\mathcal{A}\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}\mathcal{B}^j$ *for all* $j \in \mathbb{N}$*, but with respect to the canonical identification* $\bigoplus_j H \otimes H \cong H \otimes \left(\bigoplus_j \otimes H\right)$*, we have* $y \notin \mathcal{A}\underset{\mathfrak{t}}{*}(\prod_j \mathcal{B}^j)$ *because* $y|e_0\rangle_1$ *corresponds to the family* $(|e_j\rangle_1|e_0\rangle\langle e_0|)_j \in \prod_j \mathcal{L}(H, H \otimes H) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\bigoplus_j H, \bigoplus_j H \otimes H)$ *which is not contained in the space* $[|H\rangle_1\mathcal{L}(\bigoplus_j H)]$.

3.5 Slice maps on the spatial C^*-fiber product

We discuss two classes of slice maps on the spatial fiber product of C^*-algebras. The first class arises from a simple induction procedure for maps that have the following nice presentation. Let E^K_H be a concrete C^*-module, let $E^{(\mathbb{N})}$ be the set of all sequences $\xi = (\xi_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in E for which the sum $\sum_k \xi_k^*\xi_k$ converges in norm, and put $\|\xi\| := \|\sum_k \xi_k^*\xi_k\|^{1/2}$ for each $\xi \in E^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Then standard arguments show that for all $\xi, \xi' \in E^{(\mathbb{N})}$, there exists a bounded linear map

$$\omega_{\xi,\xi'} \colon \mathcal{L}(K) \to \mathcal{L}(H), \quad T \mapsto \sum_k \xi_k^* T \xi'_k,$$

where the sum converges in norm, and $\|\omega_{\xi,\xi'}\| \leq \|\xi\|\|\xi'\|$. We put $\Omega_E := \{\omega_{\xi,\xi'} \mid \xi, \xi' \in E^{(\mathbb{N})}\}$.

Proposition 3.30. *Let* A^β_H *be a* C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$*-algebra and* B^γ_K *a* C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$*-algebra.*

i) If $\xi \in \beta^{(\mathbb{N})}$ *and* $\eta \in \gamma^{(\mathbb{N})}$*, then* $|\xi\rangle_1 := \big(|\xi_k\rangle_1\big)_k \in |\beta\rangle_1^{(\mathbb{N})}$ *and* $|\eta\rangle_2 := \big(|\eta_k\rangle_2\big)_k \in |\gamma\rangle_2^{(\mathbb{N})}$.

ii) Let $\phi \in \Omega_\beta$*,* $\psi \in \Omega_\gamma$ *and choose* $\xi, \xi' \in \beta^{(\mathbb{N})}$*,* $\eta, \eta' \in \gamma^{(\mathbb{N})}$ *such that* $\phi = \omega_{\xi,\xi'}$*,* $\psi = \omega_{\eta,\eta'}$*. Then the maps* $\phi * \mathrm{id} := \omega_{|\xi\rangle_1,|\xi'\rangle_1}$ *and* $\mathrm{id} * \psi := \omega_{|\eta\rangle_2,|\eta'\rangle_2}$ *do not depend on the choice of* ξ, ξ', η, η' *and satisfy* $\phi \circ (\mathrm{id} * \psi) = \psi \circ (\phi * \mathrm{id})$*,* $(\phi * \mathrm{id})(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B) \subseteq B$*,* $(\mathrm{id} * \psi)(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B) \subseteq A$.

Proof. i) Immediate from the relation $\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k\rangle_1^* |\xi_k\rangle_1 = \rho_\gamma\big(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^*\xi_k\big)$, which holds for all $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \beta$, and from the corresponding formula for elements $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \in \gamma$.
ii) We have $\phi \circ (\mathrm{id} * \psi) = \psi \circ (\phi * \mathrm{id})$ because $|\xi_k\rangle_1\eta_l = |\eta_l\rangle_2\xi_k$ and $|\xi'_k\rangle_1\eta'_l = |\eta'_l\rangle_2\xi'_k$ for all k, l. Varying ϕ, we conclude that $\mathrm{id} * \psi$ does not depend on the choice of η, η'. Similarly, $\phi * \mathrm{id}$ is independent of the choice of ξ, ξ'. Finally, $(\phi * \mathrm{id})(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B) \subseteq [\langle\beta|_1(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B)|\beta\rangle_1] \subseteq [\langle\beta|_1|\beta\rangle_1 B] = [\rho_\gamma(\mathfrak{B})B] \subseteq B$ and similarly $(\mathrm{id} * \psi)(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\gamma B) \subseteq A$. ☐

The second class of slice maps arises from a completely positive map on one factor of a spatial fiber product. The range of such a slice map are operators on certain KSGNS-constructions, that is, internal tensor products with respect to completely positive linear maps (see [12, §4–§5]). We abbreviate "completely positive linear" by "c.p.". Let A, C be C^*-algebras and $\phi \colon A \to C$ a c.p. map. Recall that ϕ is *strict* if for some bounded approximate unit $(u_\nu)_\nu$ of A, the net $(\phi(u_\nu))_\nu$ converges strictly in $M(C)$, and that in this case, ϕ extends uniquely to a c.p. map $\tilde{\phi}\colon M(A) \to M(C)$ that is strictly continuous on bounded subsets [12, Corollary 5.7].

Lemma 3.31. *Let A_H^β be a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra, K a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-module, L a Hilbert space, and $\phi\colon A \to \mathcal{L}(L)$ a strict c.p. map. Put $X := \{x \in \mathcal{L}(H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_\gamma K) \mid \langle\gamma|_2 x|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq A\}$ and $\theta := \tilde{\phi} \circ \rho_\beta$. Then there exists a unique c.p. map $\phi * \mathrm{id}\colon X \to \mathcal{L}(L_\theta \olessthan \gamma)$ such that for all $\zeta, \zeta' \in L$, $\eta, \eta' \in \gamma$, and $x \in X$,*

$$\langle \zeta \olessthan \eta|(\phi * \mathrm{id})(x)(\zeta' \olessthan \eta')\rangle = \langle \zeta|\phi(\langle\eta|_2 x|\eta'\rangle_2)\zeta'\rangle. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Let $x = (x_{ij})_{i,j} \in M_n(X)$ be positive and $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n \in L$, $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \in \gamma$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $d := \operatorname{diag}(|\eta_1\rangle_2, \ldots, |\eta_n\rangle_2)$. Then $0 \leq (\langle\eta_i|_2 x_{ij}|\eta_j\rangle_2)_{i,j} = d^* x d \leq \|x\| d^* d$, so $0 \leq (\phi(\langle\eta_i|_2 x_{ij}|\eta_j\rangle_2))_{i,j} \leq \|x\|\tilde{\phi}(d^* d)$ and

$$0 \leq \sum_{i,j} \langle\zeta_i|\phi(\langle\eta_i|_2 x_{ij}|\eta_j\rangle_2)\zeta_j\rangle \leq \|x\| \sum_{i,j} \langle\zeta_i \olessthan \eta_i|\zeta_j \olessthan \eta_j\rangle.$$

Consequently, there exists a c.p. map $\phi * \mathrm{id}\colon X \to \mathcal{L}(L_\theta \olessthan \gamma)$ satisfying (14). ☐

Lemma 3.31 and routine calculations yield the following result:

Proposition 3.32. *Let A_H^β be a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra, B_K^γ a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra, L a Hilbert space, and $\phi\colon A \to \mathcal{L}(L)$ a strict c.p. map. Put $\theta := \tilde{\phi} \circ \rho_\beta$. Then $A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma B$ belongs to the domain of definition of $\phi * \mathrm{id}$ and $(\phi * \mathrm{id})(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma B) \subseteq (\mathrm{id}_\theta \olessthan (B' \cap \mathcal{L}(K_\gamma))' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L_\theta \olessthan \gamma)$.*

Remarks 3.33. *i) Assume that $\phi(\rho_\beta(b^\dagger)a) = \phi(\rho_\beta(b^\dagger))\phi(a)$ for all $b^\dagger \in \mathfrak{B}^\dagger, a \in A$. Then θ is a $*$-homomorphism, there exists a bounded linear map $\Phi\colon [|\gamma\rangle_2 A] \to \mathcal{L}(L, L_\theta \olessthan \gamma)$, $|\eta\rangle_2 a \mapsto |\eta\rangle_2 \phi(a)$, and $((\phi * \mathrm{id})(x))(\zeta \olessthan \eta) = \Phi(x|\eta\rangle_2)\zeta$ for all $x \in A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma B$, $\zeta \in L$, $\eta \in \gamma$.*

ii) Assume that $L = \mathfrak{K}$ and $\phi \in \Omega_\beta$. Then we can identify $\mathfrak{K} \olessthan \gamma$ with K via n_γ, and the map $\phi * \mathrm{id}$ constructed above coincides with the one constructed in Proposition 3.30.

iii) Assume that C_L^λ is a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra and that there are $S_1, \ldots, S_n, T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathcal{L}(H_\beta, L_\lambda)$ such that $\phi(a) = \sum_i S_i a T_i^* \in C$ for all $a \in A$. Then $\theta = \rho_\lambda$ by Lemma 2.6, we can identify $L_{\rho_\lambda} \olessthan \gamma$ with $L_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_\gamma K$, and one easily verifies $(\phi * \mathrm{id})(x) = \sum_i (S_i \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) x (T_i^* \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) \in C_\lambda \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma B$ for all $x \in A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma B$.

Similarly, one can construct slice maps for completely positive maps defined on the second factor in a fiber product.

3.6 The commutative case

We finally discuss fiber products of C^*-algebras, where one or both of the factors involved are commutative, and give some examples. First, we need to recall some preliminaries on Hilbert C^*-modules and Hilbert bundles, and fix some notation. A standard reference is [4].

Let B be a commutative C^*-algebra. We denote by $\hat{B}$ the spectrum of B, that is, the set of nonzero $*$-homomorphisms $B \to \mathbb{C}$. Let E be a Hilbert C^*-B-module. Then E corresponds to a continuous bundle of Hilbert spaces on $\hat{B}$ as follows. Put $E_\chi := E \ogreaterthan_\chi \mathbb{C}$ for each $\chi \in \hat{B}$ and $\mathrm{Tot}(E) := \coprod_{\chi \in \hat{B}} E_\chi$, and denote by $p_E\colon \mathrm{Tot}(E) \to \hat{B}$ the natural projection. Each $\xi \in E$ yields a section σ_ξ of p_E, given by $\chi \mapsto \xi_\chi := \xi \ogreaterthan_\chi 1 \in E_\chi$. Equipped with the weakest topology that makes p_E and the map $\mathrm{Tot}(E) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $E_\chi \ni \zeta \mapsto \|\xi_\chi - \zeta\|$ continuous for each $\xi \in E$, the space $\mathrm{Tot}(E)$ becomes a continuous bundle of Hilbert spaces. Denote by $\Gamma_0(\mathrm{Tot}(E))$ the space of continuous sections σ of p_E for which the function $\chi \mapsto \|\sigma(\chi)\|$ vanishes at infinity. Then $\Gamma_0(\mathrm{Tot}(E))$ carries a natural structure of a Hilbert C^*-B-module such that the map $E \to \Gamma_0(\mathrm{Tot}(E))$ given by $\xi \mapsto \sigma_\xi$ is an isomorphism of Hilbert C^*-B-modules.

Put $\mathrm{Tot}\,\mathcal{L}(E) := \coprod_{\chi \in \hat{B}} \mathcal{L}(E_\chi)$. Let A be a commutative C^*-algebra and $\rho\colon B \to M(A)$ a nondegenerate $*$-homomorphism. Then $E \ogreaterthan_\rho A$ is a Hilbert C^*-A-module. For each $\chi \in \hat{A}$, we identify $(E \ogreaterthan_\rho A)_\chi = E \ogreaterthan_\rho A \ogreaterthan_\chi \mathbb{C}$ with $E \ogreaterthan_{(\chi \circ \rho)} \mathbb{C} = E_{(\chi\circ\rho)}$. We call a map $f\colon \hat{A} \to \mathrm{Tot}\,\mathcal{L}(E)$ *weakly continuous and vanishing at infinity* if $p_E \circ f$ is continuous and for all $\xi, \xi' \in E$, the map $\chi \mapsto \langle \xi_{p_E(f(\chi))} | f(\chi) \xi'_{p_E(f(\chi))}\rangle$ lies in $C_0(\hat{A}) \cong A$. Similarly, we call a map $f\colon \hat{A} \to \mathrm{Tot}\,\mathcal{L}(E)$ *strong-$*$ continuous and vanishing at infinity* if $p_E \circ f$ is continuous and for all $\xi, \xi' \in E$, the map $\chi \mapsto f(\chi)\xi_{p_E(f(\chi))}$ lies in $\Gamma_0(E \ogreaterthan_\rho A)$.

In the following lemma, we apply the preceding discussion to $B = \mathfrak{B}^\dagger$, $E = \gamma$.

Lemma 3.34. *Let A_H^β be a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra, K_γ a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-module, $x \in \mathcal{L}(H {}_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$, A are commutative and $[\rho_\beta(\mathfrak{B}^\dagger)A] = A$ and $\langle\gamma|_2 x|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq A$. Define $F_x\colon \hat{A} \to \mathrm{Tot}\,\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ by $\chi \mapsto (\chi * \mathrm{id})(x)$. Then:*

i) F_x is weakly continuous vanishing at infinity.

ii) $x \in \mathrm{Ind}_{|\gamma\rangle_2}(A)$ if and only if F_x is strong-$*$ continuous vanishing at infinity.

Proof. First, note that for all $\xi, \eta \in \gamma$ and $\chi \in \hat{A}$,

$$\chi(\langle\eta|_2 x|\xi\rangle_2) = \langle 1_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)} \ogreaterthan \eta | (\chi * \mathrm{id})(x)(1_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)} \ogreaterthan \xi)\rangle = \langle \eta_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)} | F_x(\chi)\xi_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)}\rangle.$$

i) For each $\xi, \eta \in \gamma$, the map $\chi \mapsto \langle \eta_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)} | F_x(\chi)\xi_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)}\rangle$ equals $\langle\eta|_2 x|\xi\rangle_2 \in A$.
ii) Assume that F_x is strong-$*$ continuous vanishing at infinity, and let $\xi \in \gamma$. Then the map $\chi \mapsto F_x(\chi)\xi_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)}$ lies in $\Gamma_0(\gamma \ogreaterthan_{\rho_\beta} A)$ and has the form σ_ω for some $\omega \in \gamma \ogreaterthan_{\rho_\beta} A$. We identify $\gamma \ogreaterthan_{\rho_\beta} A$ with $[|\gamma\rangle_2 A] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H, H {}_\beta\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_\gamma K)$ in the canonical manner and find that $x|\xi\rangle_2 = \omega$ because $\chi(\langle\eta|_2 x|\xi\rangle_2) = \langle \eta_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)} | \omega_{(\chi\circ\rho_\beta)}\rangle =$

$\chi(\langle\eta|_2\omega)$ for all $\chi \in \hat{A}$, $\eta \in \gamma$. Since $\xi \in \gamma$ was arbitrary, we can conclude $x|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 A]$. A similar argument, applied to x^* instead of x, shows that $x^*|\gamma\rangle_2 \subseteq [|\gamma\rangle_2 A]$, and therefore $x \in \mathrm{Ind}_{|\gamma\rangle_2}(A)$. Reversing the arguments, we obtain the reverse implication. □

We now consider a special situation. Let X, Y, Z be locally compact Hausdorff spaces, $p\colon X \to Z$ and $q\colon Y \to Z$ continuous maps, τ a Radon measure on Z with full support, and $\phi = (\phi_z)_{z\in Z}, \psi = (\psi_z)_{z\in Z}$ families of measures such that

i) ϕ_z and ψ_z are Radon measures with full support on $X_z := p^{-1}(z)$ and $Y_z := q^{-1}(z)$, respectively, for each $z \in Z$,

ii) for each $f \in C_c(X)$ and $g \in C_c(Y)$, the maps $z \mapsto \int_{X_z} f \,\mathrm{d}\phi_z$ and $z \mapsto \int_{Y_z} g \,\mathrm{d}\psi_z$ are continuous.

Then we can define unique Radon measures ν_X, ν_Y, ν on $X, Y, X_p \underset{Z}{\times}_q Y$, respectively, such that for all $f \in C_c(X), g \in C_c(Y), h \in C_c(X_p \underset{Z}{\times}_q Y)$,

$$\int_X f \,\mathrm{d}\nu_X = \int_Z \int_{X_z} f \,\mathrm{d}\phi_z \,\mathrm{d}\mu(z), \quad \int_Y g \,\mathrm{d}\nu_Y = \int_Z \int_{Y_z} g \,\mathrm{d}\psi_z \,\mathrm{d}\mu(z),$$
$$\int_{X_p \underset{Z}{\times}_q Y} h \,\mathrm{d}\nu = \int_Z \int_{X_z} \int_{Y_z} h(x,y) \,\mathrm{d}\psi_z(y) \,\mathrm{d}\phi_z(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(z).$$

We equip $C_c(X)$ with the structure of a pre-Hilbert-module over $C_0(Z)$ such that $\langle f|g\rangle(z) = \int_{X_z} \overline{f} g \,\mathrm{d}\phi_z$ and $fh = fp^*(h)$ for all $f, g \in C_c(X)$, $h \in C_0(Z)$, $z \in Z$, and denote the completion by $L^2(X, \phi)$. Similarly, we define the Hilbert C^*-module $L^2(Y, \psi)$. Then each $f \in L^2(X, \phi)$ and $g \in L^2(Y, \phi)$ yields an operator

$$j_X(f)\colon L^2(Z, \mu) \to L^2(X, \nu_X), \; h \mapsto fp^*(h),$$
$$j_Y(g)\colon L^2(Z, \mu) \to L^2(Y, \nu_Y), \; h \mapsto gq^*(h).$$

Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be the C^*-base associated to the weight on $C_0(Z)$ corresponding to μ and

$$A := C_0(X), \; H := L^2(X, \nu_X), \; \beta := j_X(L^2(X, \phi)),$$
$$B := C_0(Y), \; K := L^2(Y, \nu_Y), \; \gamma := j_Y(L^2(Y, \psi)).$$

Evidently, A^β_H is a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra and B^γ_K a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra. For each $z \in Z$, we identify $L^\infty(X_z, \phi_z)$ and $L^\infty(Y_z, \psi_z)$ with C^*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(X_z, \phi_z)) \cong \mathcal{L}(\beta_z)$ and $\mathcal{L}(L^2(Y_z, \psi_z)) \cong \mathcal{L}(\gamma_z)$, respectively.

Proposition 3.35. *i) There exists a unitary* $U\colon H_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_\gamma K \to L^2(X_p \underset{Z}{\times}_q Y, \nu)$ *such that* $(\Phi(j_X(f) \ogreaterthan h \olessthan j_Y(g)))(x,y) = f(x)h(p(x))g(y)$ *for all* $f \in C_c(X)$, $g \in C_c(Y)$, $h \in C_c(Z)$, $(x,y) \in X_p \underset{Z}{\times}_q Y$.

ii) $\mathrm{Ad}_U(A_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma B)$ *is the* C^**-algebra of all* $f \in L^\infty(X_p \underset{Z}{\times}_q Y, \nu)$ *that have representatives* f_X, f_Y *such that the maps* $X \to \operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$ *and* $Y \to \operatorname{Tot}\mathcal{L}(\beta)$ *given by* $x \mapsto f_X(x, \cdot) \in L^\infty(Y_{p(x)}, \psi_{p(x)})$ *and* $y \mapsto f_Y(\cdot, y) \in L^\infty(X_{q(y)}, \phi_{q(y)})$ *respectively, are strong-*$*$ *continuous vanishing at infinity.*

Proof. The proof of assertion i) is straightforward, and assertion ii) follows immediately from Theorem 3.25 i) and Lemma 3.34 ii). ☐

Examples 3.36. *i) Let* X, Y *be discrete,* $Z = \{0\}$*, and let* ϕ_0*,* ψ_0 *be the counting measures on* X, Y*, respectively. Then*

$$C_0(X)_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma C_0(Y) \cong \{f \in C_b(X \times Y) \,|\, f(x, \cdot\,) \in C_0(Y) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$
$$f(\,\cdot\,, y) \in C_0(X) \text{ for all } y \in Y\}.$$

This follows from Theorem 3.35 and the fact that for each $f \in C_b(X \times Y)$*, the maps* $X \to \mathcal{L}(l^2(Y))$*,* $x \mapsto f(x, \cdot\,)$*, and* $Y \to \mathcal{L}(l^2(X))$*,* $y \mapsto f(\,\cdot\,, y)$*, are strong-*$*$ *continuous vanishing at infinity if and only if* $f(\,\cdot\,, y) \in C_0(X)$ *and* $f(x, \cdot\,) \in C_0(Y)$ *for each* $y \in Y$ *and* $x \in X$*.*

ii) Let $X = \mathbb{N}$*,* $Z = \{0\}$*, and let* ϕ_0 *be the counting measure. Then*

$$C_0(\mathbb{N})_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma C_0(Y) \cong \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{N} \times Y) \mid (f(x, \cdot))_x \text{ is a sequence in } C_0(Y)$$
$$\text{that converges strongly to } 0 \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(Y, \psi_0))\}$$

because for each $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{N} \times Y)$*, the map* $Y \to \mathcal{L}(l^2(\mathbb{N}))$*,* $y \mapsto f(\,\cdot\,, y)$*, is strong-*$*$ *continuous vanishing at infinity if and only if* $f(x, \cdot\,) \in C_0(Y)$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{N}$*.*

iii) Let $X = Y = [0, 1]$*,* $Z = \{0\}$*, and let* $\phi_0 = \psi_0$ *be the Lebesgue measure. For each subset* $I \subseteq [0, 1]$*, denote by* χ_I *its characteristic function. Then the function* $f \in L^\infty([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ *given by* $f(x, y) = 1$ *if* $y \leq x$ *and* $f(x, y) = 0$ *otherwise belongs to* $C([0, 1])_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma C([0, 1])$ *because the functions* $[0, 1] \to L^\infty([0, 1]) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L^2([0, 1]))$ *given by* $x \mapsto f(x, \cdot\,) = \chi_{[0,x]}$ *and* $y \mapsto f(\,\cdot\,, y) = \chi_{[y,1]}$ *are strong-*$*$ *continuous. In particular, we see that* $C([0, 1])_\beta \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}_\gamma C([0, 1]) \not\subseteq C([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) = C([0, 1]) \otimes C([0, 1])$*.*

A Minimal fiber products in the setting of C^*-algebras

In this appendix, we use the spatial fiber product to construct a minimal fiber product of C^*-algebras that is independent of a chosen representation in the following sense. Let $\mathfrak{b} = (\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}^\dagger)$ be a C^*-base. Given C^*-algebras A, B with nondegenerate $*$-homomorphisms $\sigma\colon \mathfrak{B} \to M(A)$ and $\rho\colon \mathfrak{B}^\dagger \to M(B)$, we define a minimal fiber product of A and B with respect to $\sigma, \rho, \mathfrak{b}$ as follows. We represent A as a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}^\dagger$-algebra and B as a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-algebra, form the spatial fiber

product, and then take the limit of these spatial fiber products for all admissible representations. In categorical terms, this construction is a right Kan extension [15]. For background on category theory, see [15].
We fix some terminology. Let C be a C^*-algebra. A *C^*-C-algebra* (A, ρ), briefly written A_ρ, is a C^*-algebra A with a nondegenerate $*$-homomorphism $\rho\colon C \to M(A)$. A *morphism* of C^*-C-algebras A_ρ and B_σ is a $*$-homomorphism $\pi\colon A \to B$ satisfying $\sigma(c)\pi(a) = \pi(\rho(c)a)$ for all $c \in C, a \in A$. We denote the category of all C^*-C-algebras by $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_C$ and write $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg} = \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Similarly as in Example 3.8, we define finite sums in the categories $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger}$, respectively. Let $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger}$ be full subcategories of $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger}$, respectively, which are closed under

i) l^∞-sums and

ii) formation of subalgebras, that is, if $\mathfrak{c} \in \{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}^\dagger\}$ and $A^\alpha_H \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{c}}$, $B \subseteq A$, $B^\alpha_H \in \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{c}}$, then $B^\alpha_H \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{c}}$.

We construct a fiber product $(\cdot * \cdot)\colon \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \times \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}} \to \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}$ as the right Kan extension of the spatial fiber product $(\cdot \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \cdot)\colon \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger} \to \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}$ constructed in Subsection 3.3 along the forgetful functor

$$\mathbf{U}\colon \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger} \to \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \times \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}}$$

given by $(A^\alpha_H, B^\beta_K) \mapsto (A_{\rho_\alpha}, B_{\rho_\beta})$ on objects and $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto (\phi, \psi)$ on morphisms. Given a C^*-$\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$-algebra A_ρ and a C^*-$\mathfrak{B}$-algebra B_σ, we define the category $\mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma) := ((A_\rho, B_\sigma) \downarrow \mathbf{U})$ of all *admissible representations* of A_ρ and B_σ as follows. The objects are tuples $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \phi, \psi)$, where $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger}$ and (ϕ, ψ) is a morphism from (A_ρ, B_σ) to $\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ in $\mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \times \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Morphisms between two objects $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{D}_1, \phi_1, \psi_1)$, $(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{D}_2, \phi_2, \psi_2)$ are all morphisms $(\pi_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi_{\mathcal{D}})$ from $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{D}_1)$ to $(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$ in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger}$ satisfying $\phi_2 = \pi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \phi_1$ and $\psi_2 = \pi_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \psi_1$. We denote by $\mathbf{P}_{(A_\rho, B_\sigma)}\colon \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma) \to \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{b}^\dagger}$ the projection, given by $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \phi, \psi) \mapsto (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ on objects and $(\pi_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi_{\mathcal{D}}) \mapsto (\pi_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi_{\mathcal{D}})$ on morphisms.

Proposition A.1. *Let A_ρ be a C^*-$\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$-algebra and B_σ a C^*-$\mathfrak{B}$-algebra. Then the functor $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_{(A_\rho, B_\sigma)} := (\cdot \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \cdot) \circ \mathbf{P}_{(A_\rho, B_\sigma)}\colon \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma) \to \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}$, given on objects and morphisms by $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \phi, \psi) = \mathcal{C} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathbf{F}(\pi_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi_{\mathcal{D}}) = \pi_{\mathcal{C}} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \pi_{\mathcal{D}}$, has a limit consisting of a C^*-algebra $A_\rho \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\sigma B$ and a family of morphisms $(j_S\colon A_\rho \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\sigma B \to \mathbf{F}S)_{S \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)}$.*

The proof requires some preparation. Given $R_i = (\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}_i, \phi_i, \psi_i) \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we define a sum $R_1 \boxplus R_2 = (\mathcal{C}_1 \boxplus \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{D}_1 \boxplus D_2, \phi, \psi) \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$, where $\phi(a) = (\phi_1(a), \phi_2(a))$ and $\psi(b) = (\psi_1(b), \psi_2(b))$ for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$. Denote by $\mathbf{G}\colon \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma) \to \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$ the functor given by $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \phi, \psi) \mapsto (\phi(A), \psi(B), \phi, \psi)$ on objects and restriction on morphisms, and by $\iota\colon \mathbf{G} \to \mathrm{id}$ the natural transformation given by the inclusions $\phi(A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $\psi(B) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma A.2. *Let A_ρ, B_σ be as above, $R_i = (\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}_i, \phi_i, \psi_i) \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and let $\pi\colon R_1 \boxplus R_2 \to R_1$ be the projection. If $\ker\phi_1 \subseteq \ker\phi_2$ and $\ker\psi_1 \subseteq \ker\psi_2$, then the map $\pi^{R_2}_{R_1}\colon \mathbf{FG}(R_1 \boxplus R_2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}\iota_{(R_1 \boxplus R_2)}} \mathbf{F}(R_1 \boxplus R_2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}\pi} \mathbf{F}R_1$ is injective.*

Proof. Write $R_1 \boxplus R_2 = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \phi, \psi)$ and denote by $\pi_\mathcal{C}\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\pi_\mathcal{D}\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}_1$ the projections. Then $\mathbf{FG}(R_1 \boxplus R_2) = \phi(A) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi(B)$, $\mathbf{F}(R_1 \boxplus R_2) = \mathcal{C} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathcal{D}$, $\mathbf{F}R_1 = \mathcal{C}_1 \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathcal{D}_1$, and $\mathbf{F}\pi = \pi_\mathcal{C} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \pi_\mathcal{D}$. We first show that the map $\pi_\mathcal{C} * \mathrm{id}\colon \mathcal{C} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}_1 \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathcal{D}$ is injective on $\phi(A) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi(B)$. Write $\mathcal{D} = D^\beta_K$. On $\phi(A)$, the map $\pi_\mathcal{C}$ is given by $(\phi_1(a), \phi_2(a)) \mapsto \phi_1(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Since $\ker\phi_1 \subseteq \ker\phi_2$, this map is injective. Now, assume that $x \in \phi(A) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi(B)$ and $(\pi_\mathcal{C} * \mathrm{id})(x) = 0$. Then $0 = \langle\beta|_2(\pi_\mathcal{C} * \mathrm{id})(x)|\beta\rangle_2 = \pi_\mathcal{C}(\langle\beta|_2 x|\beta\rangle_2)$, and since $\langle\beta|_2 x|\beta\rangle_2 \subseteq \phi(A)$ and $\pi_\mathcal{C}$ is injective on $\phi(A)$, we must have $\langle\beta|_2 x|\beta\rangle_2 = 0$ and hence $x = 0$. A similar argument shows that the map $\mathrm{id} * \pi_\mathcal{D}\colon \mathcal{C}_1 \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}_1 \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \mathcal{D}_1$ is injective on $\phi_1(A) \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \psi(B)$, and hence $\pi_\mathcal{C} * \pi_\mathcal{D} = (\mathrm{id} * \pi_\mathcal{D}) \circ (\pi_\mathcal{C} * \mathrm{id})$ is injective as well. ☐

of Proposition A.1. Using the assumptions on $\mathbf{R}$, we choose $R = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \phi, \psi) \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$ such that $\ker\phi \subseteq \ker\phi_2$, $\ker\psi \subseteq \ker\psi_2$ for every $(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{D}_2, \phi_2, \psi_2) \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$ and $R = \mathbf{G}R$. Then for each $S \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$, the map $\pi^S_R\colon \mathbf{FG}(R \boxplus S) \to \operatorname{Im}\pi^S_R \subseteq \mathbf{F}R$ is injective by Lemma A.2, and the map $j_S\colon \pi^R_S \circ (\pi^S_R)^{-1}\colon \operatorname{Im}\pi^S_R \to \mathbf{F}S$ makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{FG}(R \boxplus S) \arrow[rr, "\pi^R_S"] \arrow[dr, "\pi^S_R"'] & & \mathbf{F}S. \\
& \operatorname{Im}\pi^S_R \arrow[ur, "j_S"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We show that the C^*-algebra $A_\rho \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\sigma B := \bigcap_S \operatorname{Im}\pi^S_R \subseteq \mathbf{F}R$ and the collection $(j_S)_S$ form a limit for the functor $\mathbf{F}$, where the intersection is taken over all $S \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$. Note that this intersection exists even if $\mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$ is not small. Let π be a morphism between objects S, T in $\mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$. In the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{FG}(R \boxplus S) \arrow[rr, "\mathbf{FG}(\mathrm{id} \boxplus \pi)"] \arrow[dd, "\pi^R_S"'] & & \mathbf{FG}(R \boxplus T) \arrow[dd, "\pi^R_T"] \\
& (\operatorname{Im}\pi_S \cap \operatorname{Im}\pi_T) \arrow[ul, "(\pi^S_R)^{-1}"'] \arrow[ur, "(\pi^T_R)^{-1}"] \arrow[dl, "j_S"'] \arrow[dr, "j_T"] & \\
\mathbf{F}S \arrow[rr, "\mathbf{F}\pi"'] & & \mathbf{F}T,
\end{tikzcd}$$

the square and the upper, the left and the right triangle commute, and hence also the lower triangle commutes. Therefore, $A_\rho \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\sigma B$ and $(j_S)_S$ form a cone on

$\mathbf{F}$. If C and $(k_S)_S$ form another cone on $\mathbf{F}$, then $k_R(C) \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \pi_R^S$ and $k_S = j_S \circ k_R$ for each $S \in \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$ because the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & C \arrow[ld, "k_R"'] \arrow[d, dashed, "k_{\mathbf{G}(R \boxplus S)}"] \arrow[rd, "k_S"] & \\
\mathbf{F}R & \mathbf{FG}(R \boxplus S) \arrow[l, "\pi_R^S"] \arrow[r, "\pi_S^R"'] & \mathbf{F}S.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consequently, $A_\rho \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\sigma B$ and $(j_S)_S$ form a limit for $\mathbf{F}$. ☐

The construction of the limit in the preceding proposition is functorial. Indeed, let π_A be a morphism of C^*-$\mathfrak{B}^\dagger$-algebras A_ρ, $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and let π_B be a morphism of C^*-$\mathfrak{B}$-algebras B_σ, $\tilde{B}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$. Then we have a functor $(\pi_A, \pi_B)^* \colon \mathbf{R}(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\rho}}, \tilde{B}_{\tilde{\sigma}}) \to \mathbf{R}(A_\rho, B_\sigma)$ given by $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \phi, \psi) \mapsto (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \phi \circ \pi_A, \psi \circ \pi_B)$ on objects and the identity on morphisms, and for each $S \in \mathbf{R}(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\rho}}, \tilde{B}_{\tilde{\sigma}})$, we have a map

$$j_{(\pi_A, \pi_B)^* S} \colon A_\rho \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\sigma B \to \mathbf{F}_{(A_\rho, B_\sigma)}(\pi_A, \pi_B)^* S = \mathbf{F}_{(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\rho}}, \tilde{B}_{\tilde{\sigma}})} S.$$

The family of these maps forms a cone on the functor $\mathbf{F}_{(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\rho}}, \tilde{B}_{\tilde{\sigma}})}$ and therefore induces a map $\pi_A \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \pi_B \colon A_\rho \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\sigma B \to \tilde{A}_{\tilde{\rho}} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_{\tilde{\sigma}} \tilde{B}$.

Theorem A.3. *There exists a unique functor* $(\,\cdot * \cdot\,) \colon \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}^\dagger} \times \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}_{\mathfrak{B}} \to \mathbf{C^*\text{-}alg}$ *given on objects by* $(A_\rho, B_\sigma) \mapsto A_\rho \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_\sigma B$ *and on morphisms by* $(\pi_A, \pi_B) \mapsto \pi_A \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \pi_B$.

Proof. This functor is the right Kan extension of $(\,\cdot \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*} \cdot\,)$ along $\mathbf{U}$, and the result follows from Proposition A.1, see [15, X.3 Theorem 1]. ☐

References

[1] S. Baaj and G. Skandalis. Unitaires multiplicatifs et dualité pour les produits croisés de C^*-algèbres. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 26(4):425–488, 1993.

[2] R. M. Brouwer. A bicategorical approach to Morita equivalence for von Neumann algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 44(5):2206–2214, 2003.

[3] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry.* Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.

[4] M. J. Dupré and R. M. Gillette. *Banach bundles, Banach modules and automorphisms of C^*-algebras*, volume 92 of *Research Notes in Mathematics.* Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1983.

[5] S. Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski, J. Quigg, and I. Raeburn. A categorical approach to imprimitivity theorems for C^*-dynamical systems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 180(850):viii+169, 2006.

[6] M. Enock. Inclusions of von Neumann algebras and quantum groupoïds. III. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 223(2):311–364, 2005.

[7] M. Enock and J.-M. Vallin. Inclusions of von Neumann algebras, and quantum groupoids. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 172(2):249–300, 2000.

[8] M. Enock and J.-M. Vallin. Inclusions of von Neumann algebras and quantum groupoids. II. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 178(1):156–225, 2000.

[9] T. Falcone. L^2-von Neumann modules, their relative tensor products and the spatial derivative. *Illinois J. Math.*, 44(2):407–437, 2000.

[10] J. Kustermans. KMS-weights on C^*-algebras. Technical report, Odense Universitet, 1997. Available at `arxiv.org/funct-an/9704008`.

[11] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes. Locally compact quantum groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 33(6):837–934, 2000.

[12] E. C. Lance. *Hilbert C^*-modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*, volume 210 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[13] T. Leinster. *Higher operads, higher categories*, volume 298 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[14] F. Lesieur. *Groupoïdes quantiques mesurés: axiomatique, étude, dualité, exemples.* PhD thesis, Universite d'Orléans, 2003. Available at `www.univ-orleans.fr/mapmo/publications/lesieur/these.php`.

[15] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

[16] J.-L. Sauvageot. Sur le produit tensoriel relatif d'espaces de Hilbert. *J. Operator Theory*, 9(2):237–252, 1983.

[17] J.-L. Sauvageot. Produits tensoriels de Z-modules et applications. In *Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Buşteni, 1983)*, volume 1132 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 468–485. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[18] M. Skeide. von Neumann modules, intertwiners and self-duality. *J. Operator Theory*, 54(1):119–124, 2005.

[19] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. II*, volume 125 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[20] M. Takeuchi. Groups of algebras over $A \otimes \overline{A}$. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 29(3):459–492, 1977.

[21] T. Timmermann. A definition of compact C^*-quantum groupoids. In *Operator Structures and Dynamical Systems, Leiden 2007*, Contemporary Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.

[22] T. Timmermann. *Pseudo-multiplicative unitaries and pseudo-Kac systems on C^*-modules*. PhD thesis, Universität Münster, 2005. Available at `www.math.uni-muenster.de/sfb/about/publ/heft394.ps`.

[23] T. Timmermann. C^*-pseudo-Kac systems and and duality for coactions of concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules. Technical Report 484, SFB 478, WW-University Muenster, October 2007. arXiv:0709.4617.

[24] T. Timmermann. C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries. Technical Report 481, SFB 478, WW-University Muenster, September 2007. arXiv:0709.2995.

[25] T. Timmermann. From Hopf C^*-families to concrete Hopf C^*-bimodules. Technical report, SFB 478, WW-University Muenster, December 2007.

[26] T. Timmermann. Pseudo-multiplicative unitaries on C^*-modules and Hopf C^*-families. *J. Noncomm. Geom.*, 1:497–542, 2007.

[27] J.-M. Vallin. Bimodules de Hopf et poids opératoriels de Haar. *J. Operator Theory*, 35(1):39–65, 1996.

[28] J.-M. Vallin. Unitaire pseudo-multiplicatif associé à un groupoïde. Applications à la moyennabilité. *J. Operator Theory*, 44(2):347–368, 2000.